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#### Abstract

This paper is a description of the procedures used by the Ocean Climate Laboratory (OCL) in the quality control of the historical oceanographic nutrient data archived at the National Oceanographic Data Center (NODC). These procedures involve: (1) range checks of the observed level data for each major ocean basin as a function of depth; (2) statistical check of the interpolated standard level data; and (3) a check for unrealistic features after an initial computation of the objective analysis. Data were flagged along each step of the quality control and the flagged data excluded from further checks. The flagged observed and standard level data are available on CD-ROM.


## INTRODUCTION

Oceanographers need quality data in order to understand the temporal and spatial variability of physical, chemical and biological parameters in the oceans. A high quality database requires development of procedures which insure the integrity of the data. The Ocean Climate Laboratory at the National Oceanographic Data Center (NODC) is supported by the NOAA Climate and Global Change program to produce scientifically quality controlled databases. This paper describes the quality control procedures used to identify erroneous or non-representative measurements of phosphate, nitrate and silicate contained in the NODC Oceanographic Station Data archives (SD file) plus additional data not yet archived (SD2 file).

Analysis of historical nutrient data involves combining data collected and processed using different methodologies and precisions. Reliable methods for the measurement of low concentrations of nutrients in seawater were not used until the 1920's (Riley and Chester, 1971; Raymont, 1980). Historically, nutrients have been measured manually using spectrophotometric methods such as those described by Stricklan6 and Parsons (1972). These methods have generally been replaced by automated methods such as the Technicon Autoanalyzer (Technicon Industrial Methods, 1969) and the chemiluminescence analyzer for measuring nanomolar concentrations of nitrate (Garside, 1982). Further descriptions of methods can be found in Wood et al. (1967), Technicon Industrial Methods (1969, 1972), Coote et al. (1970, 1973), Stephens (1970), Atlas et al. (1971), Strickland and Parsons (1972), Pilson et al. (1973) and Parsons et al. (1984). Sapozhnikov et al. (1988) describe the methods used in the Former Soviet Union for the analysis of micronutrients as well as other seawater components.

A major concern is whether data collected using manual vs. automated methods can be combined into one coherent dataset as is the case in the NODC SD file. A comparison study between automated and manual methods based on the CSIRO Marine Laboratory data bank concluded there was good agreement between the two methods for phosphate but not silicate or nitrate (Airey, 1987; Airey and Sandars, 1987). Further investigation showed higher values in the manual measurement of nitrate between 1975-1984 were due to incorrect use of the standard curve; the discrepancy in silicate results was due to the use of silicatecontaining artificial water in the preparation of the reagent blanks. Intercalibration experiments by the International Council for the Exploration of the Seas (ICES) in the late 1960's found the differences in results
laboratories due to problems in the standardization procedures (Koroleff et al.,1977).
In general, comparison between methods for all three nutrients show that results from both methods are within the experimental deviations (Berberian and Barcelona, 1979; Airey and Sandars, 1987) except at low concentrations where there is a loss of sensitivity in the automated methods. Berberian and Barcelona (1979) conclude the advantage of the AutoAnalyzer methods (economy and speed of sample) make up for the loss of sensitivity in low concentration areas.

The quality control of historical nutrient data was undertaken to prepare objective analysis maps of the annual mean distribution of nutrients in the world oceans (Conkright et al., 1994).

## DATA SOURCES AND DISTRIBUTIONS

The data used in this project are all the data found in the National Oceanographic Data Center's archived Oceanographic Station Data (SD file) as of the first quarter of 1993. Levitus and Gelfeld (1992) show global distribution maps of the data held in this file for all years (1900-1992). In addition, data gathered as a result of the NODC's National Oceanographic Data Archaeology and Rescue (NODAR) and the IODE/IOC Global Oceanographic Data Archaeology and Rescue (GODAR) projects were included in this study. A description of the NODAR and GODAR projects can be found in Levitus et al (1994). The NODAR and GODAR data sets are in a separate file named SD2 (since they have not yet been archived) and will be referred to as such throughout the text. Data in the SD2 file includes the following:

1. Australian Station Data
2. German Station Data
3. Icelandic Station Data
4. ICES (International Center for the Exploration of the Sea) Station Data
5. Japanese NODC Station Data
6. Korean NODC Station Data
7. Combined Mediterranean Station Data
8. Miscellaneous ship of opportunity Station Data
9. Pacific Institute of Oceanology (Russia) South China Sea Station Data
10. SIO (Scripps Institute of Oceanography) Southtow cruise Station Data.

The unit used for nutrients is micromolar ( $\mu \mathrm{M}$ ).
Table 1 lists the number of phosphate, nitrate and silicate observations as a function of observed depth levels for the combined SD and SD2 files. Shown in this table is the number of observed data points that occur in the depth range centered around each standard level. The depth range for the sea surface is $0-5$ m . At all other standard levels, the depth range is defined as the region between the midpoints of the standard level being considered and the adjacent standard levels above and below. The standard levels used in this study are listed in Table 1.

The terms "standard level data" and "observed level data" are required to understand the data distribution and tables we present in this paper. We refer to the actual measured value of an in
situ oceanographic parameter as an "observation", and to the depth at which such a measurement was made as "observed level depth". We may refer to such data as "observed level data". Before the advent of oceanographic instrumentation that measure at high frequencies in the vertical, oceanographers often attempted to make measurements at selected "standard levels" in the water column. For many analysis purposes observed level data are interpolated to standard observation levels, if they do not occur exactly at a standard observation level. The standard depths selected for this sturdy are listed in Table 1 and include the 30 NODC standard depths and three additional levels at 3500 , 4500 and 5500 meters depth.

Table 2 shows there are a total of 184,153 phosphate profiles, 75,403 nitrate profiles, and 110,413 silicate profiles. The greater number of samples for phosphate results from the fact that rapid and accurate measurements of low nutrient concentrations phosphate were possible earlier for phosphate than for nitrate and silicate (1920's to early 1960's). Rapid and convenient shipboard techniques for nitrate measurements were not developed until 1963 (Morris and Riley, 1963). Global distribution maps of these data are shown in Conkright et al. (1994). These maps are useful for identifying possible bias in the data analysis due to missing data or few values.

The seasonal distribution of phosphate, nitrate and silicate profiles as a function of year is shown in Figs. $\mathrm{la}, 1 \mathrm{~b}$ and 1 c respectively. These figures show possible bias in using an all-season database to examine properties which have strong seasonal signals. For example, most expeditions to high latitudes are in the summer season, so a bias towards low nutrient values (due to uptake by phytoplankton) is expected when compositing these data. Nutrient measurements peaked during the mid-1960's and early 1970's, particularly in the spring and summer months. Table 2 summarizes the number of profiles for each season in the SD and SD2 files.

Because of differences in the horizontal and vertical distribution of nutrients in different ocean basins (Levitus et al., 1993; Conkright et al., 1994), the oceans were divided into eleven separate basins as shown in Fig. 2. Fig. 3 shows the total number of phosphate, nitrate and silicate observations in each of these basins.

## QUALITY CONTROL

The quality control procedures used by the NODC on the SD file parameters are described in the NODC User's Guide (1993). These procedures focus on problems such as valid ship speeds and correct latitudes and longitudes. Quality control of the data is limited to determining consistency between related fields (such as T-S diagrams) and range checking. The remainder of this paper will describe additional quality control procedures applied to the NODC historical nutrient data by the NODC Ocean Climate Laboratory. This is an ongoing and iterative process which will be updated as more data are incorporated into the files and as a result of knowledge gained by this first pass through these procedures. These methods apply to open ocean waters only and ignore coastal regions.

The additional steps used in the quality control (QC) of historical nutrient data are the following: (1) preliminary QC; (2) range checks on the observed level data; (3) statistical checks on the
standard level data; and (4) unrealistic feature check based on the objectively analyzed data fields. Each of these steps will be described in detail. The steps are cumulative: for each step of the QC process, profiles or observations which fail a QC test are flagged and excluded from the next step in the procedure. The flags identify the reason the profile or observation was excluded from further analysis. Rather than delete erroneous or suspicious data, we simply tag them with a flag indicating they Have "failed" some test. Each profile in our database is identified by a unique number, thus comments and discussion about data flagged or otherwise is facilitated. Both the observed level and standard level profile data sets being made available as a result of this project contain flags that indicate the status of various quality control procedures. Appendix A contains a description of the various quality control flags used in this study.

## A. Preliminary quality control

Preliminary check involves procedures common to all the SD and SD2 parameters such as a check for duplicate depths, depth inversion checks, and duplicate profile checks. All data sets were checked against themselves and other data sets to eliminate replicate profiles. A replicate profile is defined as a profile which contains exactly the same information as another profile including position, date and parameter values. The criteria for finding replicates was strict so as not to eliminate acceptable data. Two profiles which appear to be duplicates may both be saved if one profile contains interpolated data while the other does not, or if one profile includes minutes in the latitude and longitude fields while a similar profile canoes not. Approximately 20,000 duplicate profiles were identified in the NODC data base.

A depth inversion error occurs when a reading has a shallower depth than the reading directly above it. A depth duplication is a reading which has the same depth as the reading above it. The second reading is the flagged depth. If, after an inversion or duplication check, the next depth reading is still shallower than the first reading, this and all subsequent depths are flagged. This usually occurs when two or more profiles are entered together with no separating header information. A total of 10,202 profiles were flagged for depth inversions or as depth duplicates.

## B. Range check on the observed level data

Range checks screen the data for extreme minimum and maximum values. Coarse ranges were set for the annual (ie. all-seasons) data as a function of depth (depths listed in Table 1) and basin (basins shown in Fig. 2) for each parameter. The following steps were used to set the ranges:
(1) The first step was to examine the frequency distribution of values for each parameter. The observed level data were first converted to the closest standard depth level in order to facilitate the computation of the frequency distributions. The depth range for this conversion is determined as the region three-fourths of the distance between the shallower standard level and the next deeper level. This depth conversion is biased toward deeper values. For example, using standard level 26 ( 2000 m ), the observed depths would fall between 1812.5 m $(3 / 4$ of the distance between
levels $25(1750 \mathrm{~m})$ and 26) and 2125 m (1/4 the distance between levels 26 and $27(2 \mathrm{~S} 00 \mathrm{~m})$ ). Initial ranges were set to include values with a frequency greater than $0.5 \%$. This approach leaches to very 4roac1 ranges in surface waters, narrowing down with increasing depths. Broad ranges were set since one set of ranges was used for all seasons. Table 3 shows the percentage of phosphate values in the North Pacific which fall within 15 phosphate class intervals as a function of depth. The shaded area represents the range of acceptable phosphate values in the North Pacific as a function of depth.
(2) Statistical analysis of the data for each depth anti basin was performers to determine the mean and the range of values which fall within one, two, and three standard deviations away from the mean. In most cases, these results were used to set an upper limit of acceptable values.
(3) Comparison of the output from the frequency distribution and statistical analysis to literature values and atlases primarily GEOSECS (Bainbridge, 1977; Craig et al., 1981, Spencer et al., 1982), Southern Ocean Atlas (Gorcion et al., 1982), Wyrtki (1988) and Levitus et al. (1993) were used to set the final ranges.
(4) Based on the results from steps 1-3, ranges were set and applied to the observed level data.

The following section will discuss the ranges set for each ocean basin, the location of the outliers, and the number of outliers as a function of depth for each parameter in both the SD and SD2 files. An outlier is defined as an observation or entire profile which is flagged because it failed a particular QC check. This section will be lengthy since most of the observations were flagged by the range check. The defined ranges are for open ocean waters and ignore coastal regions where the nutrient concentrations can greatly exceed concentrations found in open ocean waters. Coastal areas are defined as any one-degree grid point adjacent to a land grid point or any one-Degree grid point which Has a bottom depth of less than 200 m . Unrealistic extreme ranges were set for coastal regions to avoid setting flags to these data. In some areas, the range was not set high enough and data from coastal areas were flagged. In addition, no attempt was made to set realistic ranges for waters below 5500 m depth because of lack of data (see Table 1).

## (a) Phosphate

The ranges set for phosphate are presented in Tables 4-7 for each ocean basin as a function of depth. The location of the outliers which result from these range checks are presented in Figs. 4a and 4b for the SD and SD2 file. For the SD file, there are 9,254 profiles which contain observations that (to not fit within the ranges defined, mostly in coastal regions. The SD2 file has 1,913 flagged observations, mostly in coastal areas and the North and Equatorial Atlantic Oceans.

Fig. 5 and Tables $8-11$ show the distribution of the outliers as a function of basin and depth. The designation "High" refers to the number of observations which exceed the upper range limit, and "Low" refers to those observations which have lower values than those listed in Tables 4-7. For phosphate, most of the outliers are a result of extreme high values, particularly in the North and

Equatorial Atlantic, the South Pacific and the South Indian Oceans. Most of the high outliers are found in the upper 300 m of the water column in the Atlantic and Pacific Oceans, and the upper 100 m for the Indian Ocean. In contrast, most of the low outliers are found below 400 m except in the Equatorial Pacific Ocean where they are below 200 m .

In addition, for depths below 5500 m , the phosphate upper limit was set at $6.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$. There are 118 observations exceeding this value.

## (b) Nitrate

The ranges set for nitrate are listed in Tables $12-15$ for each ocean basin. Note the minimum value for nitrate was set at $0.01 \mu \mathrm{M}$ to compensate for the fact that 0.0 appears to have been used as a missing value by many investigators. Setting a minimum value of $0.01 \mu \mathrm{M}$ also eliminates valid zero surface water values. Procedures to identify valid zero values in the profiles containing nitrate data are underway.

The location of outliers from the nitrate range check is shown in Figs. 6a and 6b for the SD and SD2 files. For the SD file, there are 9,352 profiles containing observations that do not fit within the ranges defined. The SD2 file has 535 profiles containing outliers. Fig. 7 and Tables $16-19$ show the distribution of the outliers as a result of setting ranges as a function of basin and depth per basin. The upper range for waters exceeding 5500 m depth was set at $60.0 \mu \mathrm{M}$, which results in 54 observations exceeding this value.

Unlike phosphate, most nitrate range outliers are due to the lower limit set, specifically setting the minimum range value to $0.01 \mu \mathrm{M}$ instead of zero in order to flag missing data values of zero. Tables 1619 show most "low" outliers are in the upper $50-100 \mathrm{~m}$ of the water column, particularly in the North Pacific, Equatorial Indian and South Indian Oceans. Most observations exceeding the high range value are also found in surface waters, particularly in the North and Equatorial Atlantic Oceans.

## (c) Silicate

The ranges set for silicate are shown in Tables 20-23 for each ocean basin. The location of outliers which result from the range check is shown in Figs. 8 a and 8 b for both the SD and SD2 files. For the Station Data file, there are 11,464 profiles containing flagged observations. The SD2 file has 1,502 profiles containing outliers. Most of these outliers are located around Australia and Japan. Fig. 9 and Tables 24-27 show the distribution of the outliers as a function of basin and depth. Most of the outliers are values which exceed the limit set for silicate in all ocean basins. The high outliers are distributed with depth. The low outliers are found at depths where the minimum range is no longer a zero value.

The deep water (> 5500 m depth) upper limit for silicate was set at $150 \mu \mathrm{M}$. There are 119 observations which exceed this value.

## C. Interpolation from observed to standard depth levels

Prior to the next step in the quality control, the data are interpolated from observed levels to standard levels. The interpolation scheme used is a modification from that described by Reiniger and Ross (1968) and noted by UNESCO (1991) as being in common usage. Their scheme uses four observed values surrounding the standard level in question, the two closest shallower values and the two closest deeper values. The closest shallower and deep values ("inside" values) and the two farthest shallow and deep values ("outside" values) must be within the depth difference criteria shown in Table 28. The first set of depths in this table is the maximum distance between the closest or "inside" observed reading depth. The second set of depths applies to the maximum distances to the two observed levels further from the standard level in question. This interpolation scheme has the advantage over three point Lagrangian interpolation of being less susceptible to extremes when a large gradient is encountered since two separate three-point Lagrangian interpolations are averaged and then fit to a reference curve.

If all the above criteria are met, the standard depth value is set by the Reiniger and Ross (1968) interpolation. If there are not enough surrounding values within acceptable distances, three point Lagrangian interpolation is performed on the value above and two values below the level in question or on the two values above and one value below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(z)=x_{1} \frac{\left(z-z_{2}\right)\left(z-z_{3}\right)}{\left(z_{1}-z_{2}\right)\left(z_{1}-z_{3}\right)}+x_{2} \frac{\left(z-z_{1}\right)\left(z-z_{3}\right)}{\left(z_{2}-z_{1}\right)\left(z_{2}-z_{3}\right)}+x_{3} \frac{\left(z-z_{1}\right)\left(z-z_{2}\right)}{\left(z_{3}-z_{1}\right)\left(z_{3}-z_{2}\right)} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{z}_{1}, \mathrm{z}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{z}_{3}$ are the shallowest, middle and deepest observed depths respectively, z is the desired level for interpolation, and $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}$, and $\mathrm{x}_{3}$ are the values associated with the shallowest, middle and deepest depths; $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{z})$ is the interpolated value.

If there are insufficient data points to perform the above calculations, straight linear interpolation is used:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{z})=\mathrm{x}_{1}+\frac{\left(\mathrm{z}-\mathrm{z}_{1}\right)}{\left(\mathrm{z}_{2}-\mathrm{Z}_{1}\right)} *\left(\mathrm{x}_{2}-\mathrm{x}_{1}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{x}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{x}_{2}$ are the observed data values, $\mathrm{z}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{z}_{2}$ are the shallowest and deepest depths, z is the desired level for interpolation and $\mathrm{X}(\mathrm{z})$ is the desired interpolated value.

Modifications to the Reiniger and Ross (1968) method are the following:

1. If the Reiniger and Ross interpolated value does not fall between the observed values directly above and below it, linear interpolation is substituted.
2. If any value is recorded within 5 meters of the surface, this value is directly used as the
surface value.
Some observed level data were "lost" in the interpolation process because they were too far from a standard level (and therefore not used), or there were other closer observations available. A summary of the number of observations which met the criteria for the different interpolation methods is presented in Table 29. Direct substitution and the Reiniger and Ross interpolation account for most of the standard level values.

## D. Statistical analysis of data at standard depth levels

Once observed level data were interpolated to standard levels, the two data files, SD and SD2, were merged and statistical checks were performed to flag data which exceeded the standard deviation criteria. All non-flagged data were first averaged by five-degree-squares to produce the number of observations, mean, and standard deviation for each square. Each five degree square box was designated coastal, near coastal, or open ocean, depending on the number of one-degree by one-degree latitude-longitude gridboxes in the five-degree box which were land ( 0 m depth) areas. Profiles were flagged if: (a) a fivedegree square containing a land point exceeded 5 standard deviations in the upper 50 m ; (b) near-coastal regions with values exceeding 4 standard deviations in the upper 50 m ; (c) values exceeding three standard deviations for all other data except when a profile was at or below the average depth level for the one-degree box in which it was contained, or any of the adjacent one degree boxes, then 4 standard deviations were used. The reason for varying the number of standard deviations allowed is the high variability in shallow coastal areas due to river runoff, upwelling and other factors. High variability within a five-degree box near the ocean bottom can occur if the five-degree square box contains portions of two basins: i.e. the mid-Atlantic ridge separating east and west Atlantic waters. This check was only performed if there were five or more profiles in the grid box. If more than two observations in a profile exceeded the selected criteria, the profile was flagged and not included in further analysis.

The standard deviation check was applied twice to the data and then new five-degree square statistics were computed to produce a new "clean" data set. Results from the standard deviation check show 5764 phosphate profiles containing outliers, 2105 nitrate profiles with outliers and 346 S silicate outliers. The number of outliers decreases rapidly as a function of depth. Figures 10-15 show the location of profiles which were flagged during the statistical check for the SB and SD2 files and the distribution of these outliers with depth.

Five-degree square statistics are an additional product from this analysis. The five-degree statistics available are the number of observations, mean, and root-mean-square deviation.

## K. Objective analysis

Following the statistical check, data were averaged by one-degree squares for input to the objective analysis. The objective analysis is described by Levitus and Boyer (1994) and Conkright et al (1994). After the initial objective analyses was computed for each standard
level, there were still erroneous values which resulted in rapid concentration changes within an area appearing as a "bull's-eye" or some other unrealistic feature. Figure 16a shows an unrealistic feature ("bullseye") still present after the range and statistical checks (Figure 16b shows the same figure after the unrealistic features have been flagged). These features occur because of the difficulty in identifying nonrepresentative values in data sparse areas. Figures 17-19 show the location of data which were flagged due to unrealistic or erroneous values found in contour plots of the initial objectively analyzed fields. All these data were identified by investigating suspicious features and identifying the profile or individual data points which created each unrealistic feature. In some cases, entire cruises were flagged. Tables 30 and 31 list the cruises which were flagged as containing unrepresentative data for nitrate and silicate respectively. No cruises were flagged for phosphate. In addition, no cruises were flagged in the SD2 file.

## SUMMARY AND FUTURE WORK

Tables 32, 33 and 34 list the total number of profiles containing flagged observations for each QC step. The summary listed in these figures does not include the outliers from the preliminary check (duplicates, depth errors, position errors). Most of the observations were flagged during the range check. Table 35 is a summary of the number of profiles containing flagged observations from the range check of phosphate, nitrate and silicate as a function of ocean basin. The majority of the outliers for phosphate and silicate were observations exceeding the ranges set, unlike nitrate where the largest number of outliers were due to zero values. As previously noted, the high percentage of low outliers for nitrate is due to using $0.01 \mu \mathrm{M}$ as a lower limit to avoid using missing values of zero as data. One reason for the large number of range outliers is that the ranges set must include seasonal and geographical variability.

Future work will include the following:
(1) identify profiles where zero is used as a missing value and conversion to -99.999 as a new missing value - this work is already in progress,
(2) develop ranges for each season at each basin as a function of depth,
(3) geographic expansion of the range definition process to include coastal regions and some of the major inland seas such as the Mediterranean, Baltic and Black Sea,
(4) improve the vertical interpolation scheme by developing criteria for the depth of the "inner" points based on the geographical location of the profile as well as the time of year, (5) incorporate feedback from data managers and scientists who have utilized the data sets
distributed by the NODC and have identified additional problems with the data.
The flagged observed and standard level data used in this study and in the preparation of the World Ocean Atlas 1994, Volume 1: Nutrients (Conkright et al., 1994) are available on CD-ROM. Appendix 1 is a description of the flags used to identify erroneous data in the SD and SD2 files. Appendix 2 is a sample program which reads the CD-ROM data. A sample output of the flagged observed level nutrient data is shown in Appendix 3.

In addition to the observed and standard level data, one-degree latitude-longitude objective
analyzed values for phosphate, nitrate and silicate and five-degree square statistics will be available on CD-ROM. The one-degree and five-degree horizontal co-ordinate systems used for the analyzed fields are shown in Appendices 4 and 5. The data on the CD-ROM are sorted using the geographic grid numbering system of World Meteorological Organization (WMO) where ten-degree by ten-degree latitude-longitude squares are assigned a unique number (WMO squares are shown in Appendix 6).
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## APPENDIX 1. DATA FLAGS AND DATA AVAILABILITY

The flagged observed and standard level nutrient profiles are available from the National Oceanographic Data Center on CD-ROM, exabyte tape and other media. Data were flagged at each quality control step and the flagged data excluded from further checks. The flags were added to the header data ( 0 for a good profile and 1 if the entire profile was excluded from further quality control) and for each parameter at every depth in the profile. The following is a description of the flags which are used to identify errors in the nutrient data.

## A. Depth error flags

If the second of two successive depths is shallower than the first (a depth inversion), the second depth is marked with a flag value $=1$. Each depth following the second depth, which is also shallower than the first depth is flagged with a value $=1$. If three successive depths are shallower than the first depth, every depth reading following the first will be marked with a value $=1$. Likewise, if two successive depth readings are equal, the second reading will be marked with a value $=1$. All useable depths are marked with a value $=0$.

## B. Profile error flags

Flags on all values of an individual parameter in a profile as well as flags applied to individual observations of a parameter pertain to the quality control done to create the analyzed fields (climatologies). Standard deviation checks are done only on standard level data. This check calculates the mean and standard deviation of each parameter for 5 degree square latitude longitude boxes and flags values which are more than 3-5 standard deviations from the mean. (3 for open ocean, 5 for coastal, 4 for near coastal.) If a profile contains two or more standard deviation failures, the whole profile is flagged. This is done for annual (all parameters), seasonal (temperature, salinity, oxygen) and monthly (temperature, salinity) periods. Density stability checks are only for temperature and salinity profiles. The criteria for an instability is described by Levitus (1982). Two or more instabilities cause a profile to be flagged. Although stability checks are performed on standard level data, the observed profile is flagged as follows. While observed level density inversions are flagged at individual depths, no observed level profiles were flagged for having two or more inversions, this flag although included in observed level whole profile flag, pertains to the standard level profile. Flags such as Density and temperature inversions are placed at both observed and standard levels. The cruise flag denotes a cruise with consistently anomalous data. Bullseye flags apply to depths with anomalous data which cause ripple effects, or bullseyes in analyzed data.

## C. Definition of Flags

(1) FLAGS FOR ENTIRE PROFILE (AS A FUNCTION OF PARAMETER)

0 - accepted profile
1 - failed annual standard deviation check
2 - two or more density inversions (Levitus, 1982 criteria)
3 - flagged cruise
4 - failed seasonal standard deviation check
5 - failed monthly standard deviation check
6 - flag 1 and flag 4
7 - flag 1 and flag 5
8 - flag 4 and flag 5
9 - flag 1 and flag 4 and flag 5
(2) FLAGS ON INDIVIDUAL OBSERVATIONS
(a) Depth Flags

0 - accepted value
1 - error in recorded depth (same or less than previous depth)
2 - temperature inversion of magnitude $>0.3 \%$ meter
3 - temperature gradient of magnitude $>0.7^{\circ} /$ meter
4 - temperature gradient and inversion
(b) Observed Level Flags

0 - accepted value
1 - range outlier (outside of broad range check
2 - density inversion
3 - failed range check and density inversion check
(3) Standard Level Flags

0 - accepted value
1 - bullseye marker
2 - density inversion
3 - failed annual standard deviation check
4 - failed seasonal standard deviation check
5 - failed monthly standard deviation check
6 - failed annual and seasonal standard deviation check
7 - failed annual and monthly standard deviation check
8 - failed seasonal and monthly standard deviation check
9 - failed annual, seasonal and monthly standard deviation check

## APPENDIX 2. FORTRAN PROGRAM TO READ AND WRITE OBSERVED LEVEL AND STANDARD LEVEL VERTICAL PROFILE DATA

## program OCLdemo

c program to print out 20 profiles for all parameters in one record c from NODC's Ocean Climate Laboratory quality controlled ASCII observed level c or standard level data

## 

 c HEADER INFORMATION:c
c cc - NODC country code, see country code list
c icruise - NODC cruise code (NODC files only)
c rlat - latitude in degrees down to thousandths
c rlon - longitude in degrees <town to thousandths
c month - month of profile
c iday - day of profile
c ctime - 6 characters representing GM time
c in hours, r3own to thousandths
blanks mean time not recorded
c nprofile - OCL profile number
c numlevels - number of recorded levels
c isoor - 1 for standard levels 0 for observed levels
c nparm - number of parameters recorded in this entry
c
c PARAMETER FILE INFORMATION
c newfile - FORTRAN file number
c data - data array
c depth - observed depths
c maxlevel - maximum number of levels (6000)
c maxparm - maximum number of parameters (15)
c ierror - flag for all parameter values in a profile
c iderror - individual depth parameter flags
c ip2 - parameter codes
c
c These are the codes presently used
c PARAMETER \# CODE
c Temperature 1
c Salinity 2
c Oxygen 3
c Phosphate 4
c Nitrate 8
c $\mathrm{pH} \quad 9$
c bmiss - missing value indicator
c amiss - ASCII missing value indicator
c ieof - end of file marker (1 if end of file reached, otherwise 0 )
c nfile, ifile - input and output files
c
ссссссссссссссссссссссссссссссссссссссссссссссссссссссссссссссссссссссссссссссо
c parameter maxleve1 $=6000$, maxparm=15, kdim=33 parameter bmiss=1.E10, amiss=-99.99
c character*2 cc character* 6 ctime character*80 nfile, ifile character*4 param(9)
c dimension data(maxlevel,maxparm), depth(maxlevel), dz(kdim) dimension ierror(maxparm),iderror(maxleve 1,0:maxparm) dimension ip3(0:maxparm)
c
data dz/ 0., 10., 20., 30., 50., 75., 100., 125., 150.,

* 200., 250., 300., 400., 500., 600., 700., 800., 900 .,
* 1000., 1100., 1200., 1300., 1400., 1500., 1750., 2000.,
* 2500., 3000., 3500., 4000., 4500., 5000., 5500./
c
data param/'Temp','Sal','02','PO4','tP','Si','NO2','NO3','ph'/
c
c Read and open input data file name
c
write(6,*) 'Input File Name'
read(5,'(a80)') nfile
newfile=11 open(newfile,file=nfile,status='old')
c
c Read and open output file name
c
write(6,*) 'Input Output File Name' read(5,'(a80)')
ifile open(12,file=ifile,status='unknown')
C
c Begin loop to read and write 20 profiles
do $50 \mathrm{ij}=1,20$


## c

c Call subroutine to read data
C
call OCLread(cc,icruise,rlat,rlon,iyear,month,

* iday,ctime,jjx,numlevels,isoor,nparm,newfile,data,
* depth,maxlevel,maxparm,ierror,iderror,ip3,bmiss,ieof)
c
c end of file statement
if ( ieof.gt. 0 ) goto 4
C
c Read in depths if standard level data (isoor .eq. 1)
C
if ( isoor.eq.l.and.ij.eq.1) then
do $60 \mathrm{i}=1$,kdim
60 depth(i) $=\mathrm{dz}(\mathrm{i})$
endif
c
c Write out header information to file
c
write $(12,799)$
write $(12,800)$ cc, icruise,rlat,rlon,iyear,month,iday,
* ctime,jjx,numlevels,(ierror(np),np= 1,nparm)

* GMT',3x,' profile', 1x,'depths',2x,'flag')

800 format(a2, 1x, i8, 1x, f7.2, 1x, f8.2,2x, i4, 1x, i2, 1x, i2,

* $1 \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{a} 5,1 \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{i} 8,1 \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{i} 4,4 \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{i} 1)$
c
c Write subtitle (depth, parameter, flag) to file
c
write (12,801)(param(ip3(mm)), mm=1,nparm)
801 format(2x,'Depth',1x,'F',10(4x,a4,1x,'F'))
C
c Write data to file
do $80 \mathrm{n}=1$,numlevels
write $(12,802)$ depth(n),iderror(n, 0$)$,
* (data(n,ip3(j)),iderror(n,ip3(j)), $\mathrm{j}=1, \mathrm{nparm})$

$$
80
$$

continue
802 format(1x,f6.0,1x,i1,2x,10(f6.2,1x,i1,2x))
c
write(12,'(/)')
c
50 continue
4 continue
c
stop
end

* depth,maxlevels,maxparm,ierror,iderror,ip2,bmiss,ieof)
c
c subroutine to read OCL ascii format
c
c
parameter amiss=-99.99
character cc*2, cholder*80, ctime*6
c
dimension data(maxlevels,maxparm),iderror(maxlevels,O:maxparm) dimension
depth(maxlevels),ierror(maxparm),ip2(0:maxparm)
c
c read in header
if (ieof .1t. 1 ) then
read(newfile,800,end=4) cc,icruise,rlat,rlon,iyear,month,iday,
    * ctime,nprofile,numlevels,isoor,nparm,(ip2(i),ierror(ip2(i)),
    * $\mathrm{i}=1$,nparm)
c
800 format(a2,i5,f7.3,f8.3,i4,i2,i2,a6,i8,i3,il,i2,10(i2,i1))
c
c calculate how many lines this profile occupies
c
isoor $2=0$
iaddline=0
if ( isoor.eq. 0 ) isoor $2=1$
nlines $=(($ nparm + isoor 2$) *$ numlevels $)$
if $(\bmod (n l i n e s, 10)$. gt. 0$)$ iaddline $=1$
nlines=(nlines/10)+iaddline
c
c read in data
c
levels=0
mread=nparm
iend=0
c
do $401=1$, nlines
read(newfile,'(a80)') cholder
c
do $45 \mathrm{n}=1,10 \mathrm{~m} 2=(\mathrm{n}-1) * 8+1$
if ( mread .eq. nparm ) then
c
c
c
.
if (idp .eq. 1 .and. isoor .eq. 0 ) then
read(cholder(m2:m2+7),'(f7.2,i1)')
depth(levels),iderror(levels,0)
idp $=0$
else
mread $=$ mread +1
read(cholder(m2:m2+7),'(f7.3,i1)')
data(levels,ip2(mread)),iderror(levels,ip2(mread))
c

> if ( data(levels,ip2(mread)).lt.amiss+1. ) data(levels,ip2(mread)) = amiss
if ( data(levels,ip2(mread)).eq.bmiss) data $($ levels, ip2 $($ mread $))=$ amiss
endif
endif
c
45
40
c
endif
c
return
c
$4 \quad$ ieof $=3$.
return
c end

APPENDIX 3. SAMPLE OUTPUT OF OBSERVED LEVEL NUTRIENT DATA


## Appendix 4. One-degree horizontal co-ordinate system of the analyzed fields

Each element of $F(i, j)$ of an analyzed field $F$, where $F$ is dimensioned $F(360,180)$, is considered to represent the value at the center of a one degree latitude- longitude square

Longitude denoted by the variable "i", varies from 1 at $0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{E}$ to 360 at $0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$
Latitude denoted by the variable "j", varies from 1 at $89.5^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$ to 180 at $89.5^{\circ} \mathrm{M}$
The point $F(1,1)$ is the value at $0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{E}, 89.5^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$
The point $F(218,20)$ is the value at $142.5^{\circ} \mathrm{W}, 70.5^{\circ} \mathrm{S}$
The point $F(360,91)$ is the value at $0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{W}, 0.5^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$


## Appendix 5. Five-degree horizontal co-ordinate system of the analyzed fields

Each element of $F(i, j)$ of an analyzed field $F$, where $F$ is dimensioned $F(72,36)$, is considered to represent the value at the center of a five degree latitude- longitude square

Longitude denoted by the variable "i", varies from 1 at $2.5{ }^{\circ}$ E to 72 at $2.5^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$

Latitude denoted by the variable "j", varies from 1 at $87.5^{\circ}$ S to 36 at $87.5^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$

LONGITUDE

Appendix 6. WMO SQUARE CHART

Table 1. Distribution with depth of phosphate, nitrate and silicate observations.

| Depth | Phosphate | Nitrate | Silicate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 173484 | 68007 | 102890 |
| 10 | 156184 | 58789 | 88090 |
| 20 | 153475 | 61565 | 90865 |
| 30 | 144104 | 54897 | 82806 |
| 50 | 141130 | 53233 | 81579 |
| 75 | 116268 | 42701 | 65280 |
| 100 | 109022 | 41439 | 63678 |
| 125 | 93489 | 35670 | 54611 |
| 150 | 91286 | 35140 | 54410 |
| 200 | 81583 | 32028 | 47732 |
| 250 | 78056 | 29152 | 45471 |
| 300 | 75242 | 27988 | 42831 |
| 400 | 72411 | 26119 | 41625 |
| 500 | 64095 | 24395 | 37068 |
| 600 | 57649 | 19379 | 33317 |
| 700 | 53901 | 17684 | 31518 |
| 800 | 52163 | 17247 | 30347 |
| 900 | 50185 | 16152 | 29543 |
| 1000 | 47346 | 15403 | 27823 |
| 1100 | 39978 | 13200 | 23562 |
| 1200 | 36291 | 12343 | 21800 |
| 1300 | 32497 | 11167 | 19979 |
| 1400 | 29814 | 9949 | 18772 |
| 1500 | 26435 | 9238 | 16688 |
| 1750 | 21629 | 7765 | 13187 |
| 2000 | 18108 | 6679 | 11342 |
| 2500 | 11872 | 5712 | 8907 |
| 3000 | 9038 | 4424 | 6989 |
| 3500 | 7392 | 3618 | 5669 |
| 4000 | 5175 | 2937 | 4304 |
| 4500 | 3254 | 2036 | 2951 |
| 5000 | 1627 | 1096 | 1465 |
| 5500 | 562 | 397 | 497 |

Table 2. Number of phosphate, nitrate and silicate profiles for each season in the SD and SD2 files

| Season | Phosphate |  | Nitrate |  | Silicate |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | :---: | ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | SD | SD2 | SD | SD2 | SD | SD2 |  |
| Winter <br> (Jan-Mar) | 35411 | 7442 | 15301 | 2518 | 20248 | 5199 |  |
| Spring <br> (Apr-Jun) | 43927 | 7169 | 18576 | 4021 | 24756 | 6619 |  |
| Summer (Jul- <br> Sep) | 47794 | 6707 | 18429 | 2405 | 27285 | 5196 |  |
| Fall <br> (Oct-Dec) | 29061 | 6642 | 12123 | 2030 | 16425 | 4685 |  |
| Total <br> profiles/file | 156193 | 27960 | 64429 | 10974 | 88714 | 21699 |  |
| Total <br> Profiles | 184153 |  | 75403 |  |  | 110413 |  |

Table 3. Frequency distribution (in percent) of phosphate values in the North Pacific Ocean

| Depth | $1 *$ | $2 *$ | $3^{*}$ | $4 *$ | $5 *$ | $6^{*}$ | 7* | $8^{*}$ | 9* | $10^{*}$ | $11^{*}$ | $12^{*}$ | $13^{*}$ | $14^{*}$ | 15* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 44.6 | 25.4 | 12.8 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 1.6 | 1.7 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 10 | 44.3 | 25.2 | 12.9 | 5.8 | 5.8 | 2.4 | 2.2 | 1.6 | 2.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 20 | 43.0 | 24.7 | 12.8 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 2.7 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 30 | 40.4 | 24.1 | 13.0 | 6.2 | 6.2 | 3.2 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 50 | 35.4 | 21.1 | 12.8 | 7.6 | 7.6 | 3.7 | 3.4 | 3.1 | 5.4 | 1.8 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 75 | 30.2 | 17.4 | 11.8 | 8.2 | 8.2 | 5.8 | 4.5 | 3.8 | 6.6 | 4.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 100 | 26.5 | 15.0 | 10.1 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 6.0 | 5.5 | 4.7 | 8.8 | 6.6 | 1.9 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 125 | 21.9 | 14.9 | 7.6 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 6.4 | 6.1 | 5.5 | 10.6 | 8.9 | 4.1 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 150 | 17.3 | 16.8 | 6.9 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 5.9 | 6.2 | 5.7 | 11.6 | 10.6 | 6.1 | 1.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 200 | 8.9 | 18.6 | 8.6 | 4.8 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 13.2 | 13.0 | 95 | 2.6 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 250 | 4.8 | 13.9 | 11.5 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 4.4 | 5.1 | 5.5 | 10.2 | 15.5 | 13.7 | 4.8 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 300 | 2.7 | 8.0 | 11.9 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 4.0 | 4.4 | 5.7 | 9.6 | 13.8 | 18.7 | 7.8 | 1.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 400 | 1.2 | 2.7 | 5.3 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 4.7 | 4.1 | 4.9 | 11.2 | 8.8 | 18.0 | 20.5 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 500 | 1.0 | 1.3 | 1.2 | 28 | 2.8 | 6.5 | 6.1 | 5.2 | 13.2 | 8.9 | 13.6 | 27.5 | 6.9 | 0.3 | 0.0 |
| 600 | 1.2 | 1.2 | 0.5 | 05 | 0.5 | 3.4 | 5.4 | 6.9 | 17.8 | 13.3 | 16.2 | 20.7 | 10.7 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| 700 | 1.6 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 3.3 | 14.3 | 20.1 | 21.8 | 22.0 | 11.5 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| 800 | 2.1 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 5.4 | 14.7 | 28.1 | 30.2 | 13.3 | 0.5 | 0.0 |
| 900 | 0.9 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 4.5 | 7.4 | 22.3 | 38.1 | 23.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 |
| 1000 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 4.1 | 5.4 | 16.0 | 44.5 | 25.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 |
| 1100 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 3.8 | 4.9 | 12.2 | 51.2 | 24.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 |
| 1200 | 1.1 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 3.4 | 4.7 | 11.7 | 54.4 | 21.9 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| 1300 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 4.1 | 4.8 | 12.7 | 57.6 | 17.5 | 0.6 | 0.0 |
| 1400 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 2.8 | 4.4 | 14.7 | 60.3 | 14.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 |
| 1500 | 1.6 | 1.5 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 2.1 | 3.6 | 16.1 | 62.6 | 10.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 1750 | 2.7 | 2.5 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 1.1 | 19.6 | 65.3 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2000 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 4.7 | 7.2 | 26.7 | 53.2 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2500 | 1.2 | 1.0 | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.4 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 4.8 | 8.0 | 46.8 | 34.8 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3000 | 1.5 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 4.2 | 8.2 | 66.8 | 15.6 | 0.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 3500 | 1.8 | 1.0 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 2.9 | 7.2 | 75.7 | 8.7 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4000 | 1.9 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.8 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 8.5 | 77.4 | 4.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 4500 | 0.5 | 0.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 3.0 | 12.3 | 76.7 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5000 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.3 | 1.0 | 4.0 | 20.5 | 70.2 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 5500 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 0.7 | 26 | 26.9 | 66.9 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 |

$\begin{aligned} 5 & =0.8-1.0 \\ 10 & =2.0-2.4 \\ 15 & =>4.0\end{aligned}$
$\begin{aligned} 4 & =0.6-0.8 \\ 9 & =1.6-2.0 \\ 14 & =3.6-4.0\end{aligned}$
$\begin{aligned} 3 & =0.4-0.6 \\ 8 & =1.4-1.6 \\ 13 & =3.2-3.6\end{aligned}$

Table 4: Phosphate ranges for the Atlantic Ocean as a function of depth

| Depth | North Atlantic |  | Eq. Atlantic |  | South Atlantic |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | High | Low | High | Low | High |
| 0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| 10 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| 20 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| 30 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| 50 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| 75 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 2.4 |
| 100 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 2.4 |
| 125 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 2.4 |
| 150 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 2.4 |
| 200 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 2.8 |
| 250 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 2.8 |
| 300 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 2.8 |
| 400 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 3.2 |
| 500 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 3.2 |
| 600 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 3.2 |
| 700 | 0.2 | 3.2 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 3.2 |
| 800 | 0.2 | 3.2 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 3.2 |
| 900 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 3.2 |
| 1000 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 3.2 |
| 1100 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 3.2 |
| 1200 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 3.2 |
| 1300 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 3.2 |
| 1400 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 3.2 |
| 1500 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 3.6 |
| 1750 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 3.6 |
| 2000 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 0.3 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 3.6 |
| 2500 | 0.2 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 3.6 |
| 3000 | 0.2 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 3.6 |
| 3500 | 0.2 | 3.4 | 0.3 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 3.2 |
| 4000 | 0.4 | 3.2 | 0.5 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 3.2 |
| 4500 | 0.4 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 3.2 |
| 5000 | 0.4 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 2.8 |
| 5500 | 0.4 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 2.8 |

Table 5. Phosphate ranges for the Pacific Ocean as a function of depth.

| Depth | North Pacific |  | Eq. Pacific |  | South Pacific |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | High | Low | High | Low | High |
| 0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| 10 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| 20 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| 30 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 1.6 |
| 50 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| 75 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 3.2 |
| 100 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 3.2 |
| 125 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 |
| 150 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 |
| 200 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 |
| 250 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 |
| 300 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 |
| 400 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.6 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 3.6 |
| 500 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 3.6 |
| 600 | 0.2 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 3.8 |
| 700 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 3.8 |
| 800 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 3.8 |
| 900 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 3.8 |
| 1000 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 3.8 |
| 1100 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 3.8 |
| 1200 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 3.6 |
| 1300 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 3.6 |
| 1400 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 3.6 |
| 1500 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 3.6 |
| 1750 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 3.6 |
| 2000 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 3.6 |
| 2500 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 3.6 |
| 3000 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 3.2 |
| 3500 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 3.2 |
| 4000 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 3.2 |
| 4500 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 3.2 |
| 5000 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 3.2 |
| 5500 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 3.2 |

Table 6. Phosphate ranges in the Indian Ocean as a function of depth.

| Depth | North Indian |  | Eq. Indian |  | South Indian |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | High | Low | High | Low | High |
| 0 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| 10 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| 20 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| 30 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| 50 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| 75 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| 100 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| 125 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 2.4 | 0.0 | 2.4 |
| 150 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 2.4 |
| 200 | 0.6 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 2.4 |
| 250 | 0.6 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 2.4 |
| 300 | 0.6 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 2.8 |
| 400 | 0.6 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 2.8 |
| 500 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 2.8 |
| 600 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 3.2 |
| 700 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 3.2 |
| 800 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 3.2 |
| 900 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 3.2 |
| 1000 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 3.2 |
| 1100 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.6 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 3.2 |
| 1200 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.6 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 3.2 |
| 1300 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.4 | 3.2 |
| 1400 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 3.2 |
| 1500 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 3.2 |
| 1750 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 3.2 |
| 2000 | 0.8 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 3.2 |
| 2500 | 0.6 | 3.8 | 0.8 | 3.6 | 0.4 | 3.2 |
| 3000 | 0.6 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 3.2 |
| 3500 | 0.6 | 3.6 | 0.8 | 32 | 0.4 | 3.2 |
| 4000 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 3.2 |
| 4500 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 3.2 |
| 5000 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 3.2 |
| 5500 | 0.6 | 2.8 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 0.8 | 3.2 |

Table 7. Phosphate ranges for the Southern and Arctic Oceans as a function of depth.

| Depth | Southern Ocean |  | Arctic Ocean |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | High | Low | High |
| 0 | 0.2 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 1.4 |
| 10 | 0.2 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 2.0 |
| 20 | 0.2 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 2.4 |
| 30 | 0.2 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 2.8 |
| 50 | 0.2 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 2.8 |
| 75 | 0.2 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 2.8 |
| 100 | 0.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 2.8 |
| 125 | 0.4 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 2.8 |
| 150 | 0.4 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 2.8 |
| 200 | 0.4 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 2.8 |
| 250 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 2.8 |
| 300 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 2.8 |
| 400 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 2.8 |
| 500 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 2.8 |
| 600 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 3.2 |
| 700 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 0.2 | 3.2 |
| 800 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 3.8 |
| 900 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 3.8 |
| 1000 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 3.8 |
| 1100 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 3.8 |
| 1200 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 3.8 |
| 1300 | 0.6 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 3.8 |
| 1400 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 3.8 |
| 1500 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 3.8 |
| 1750 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 3.8 |
| 2000 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 3.8 |
| 2500 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 0.4 | 3.8 |
| 3000 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 3.6 |
| 3500 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 3.6 |
| 4000 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 3.2 |
| 4500 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 2.8 |
| 5000 | 0.8 | 3.2 | 0.6 | 2.8 |
| 5500 | 0.8 | 2.8 | 0.6 | 2.8 |

Table 8. Number of phosphate range outliers as a function of depth in the Atlantic Ocean. ( $\mathrm{N}=$ number of observations, High= outliers exceeding high range values, Low= outliers below the low range values)

|  |  | North Atlantic | Equatorial Atlantic |  |  |  |  | South Atlantic |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth | N | High Low |  | N |  | High Low | N | High Low |  |
| 0 | 18376 | 632 | 0 | 4284 | 246 | 0 | 3,511 | 29 | 0 |
| 10 | 13835 | 523 | 0 | 3026 | 175 | 0 | 2,274 | 23 | 0 |
| 20 | 12073 | 515 | 0 | 2972 | 128 | 0 | 2,655 | 32 | 0 |
| 30 | 11328 | 519 | 1 | 3828 | 120 | 0 | 2,790 | 21 | 0 |
| 50 | 17006 | 287 | 0 | 4639 | 55 | 0 | 3,410 | 43 | 0 |
| 75 | 13310 | 268 | 0 | 4429 | 44 | 0 | 2,282 | 10 | 0 |
| 100 | 15664 | 245 | 0 | 4095 | 55 | 0 | 2,700 | 15 | 0 |
| 125 | 5300 | 107 | 0 | 1785 | 18 | 0 | 770 | 3 | 0 |
| 150 | 12030 | 238 | 0 | 3395 | 39 | 0 | 2130 | 16 | 0 |
| 200 | 11910 | 129 | 0 | 3602 | 42 | 0 | 2,824 | 9 | 0 |
| 250 | 8517 | 107 | 0 | 2108 | 15 | 0 | 1,489 | 1 | 0 |
| 300 | 12009 | 173 | 0 | 3455 | 34 | 0 | 2,337 | 13 | 0 |
| 400 | 12048 | 20 | 0 | 3512 | 25 | 0 | 2,850 | 6 | 32 |
| 500 | 11491 | 23 | 0 | 3200 | 22 | 0 | 2,287 | 12 | 19 |
| 600 | 6939 | 14 | 0 | 2210 | 34 | 0 | 2,249 | 13 | 14 |
| 700 | 4132 | 15 | 207 | 1451 | 24 | 9 | 1,688 | 13 | 21 |
| 800 | 8785 | 48 | 277 | 1818 | 31 | 18 | 1,742 | 10 | 24 |
| 900 | 3491 | 21 | 126 | 1052 | 19 | 6 | 1,204 | 6 | 11 |
| 1000 | 8142 | 30 | 241 | 1541 | 14 | 13 | 1,500 | 11 | 32 |
| 1100 | 2356 | 23 | 71 | 866 | 9 | 7 | 911 | 11 | 13 |
| 1200 | 3890 | 20 | 151 | 910 | 3 | 12 | 1,038 | 7 | 26 |
| 1300 | 1603 | 9 | 51 | 519 | 0 | 6 | 642 | 2 | 14 |
| 1400 | 1977 | 4 | 43 | 633 | 4 | 12 | 845 | 3 | 10 |
| 1500 | 5303 | 22 | 154 | 1147 | 6 | 41 | 1,322 | 2 | 20 |
| 1750 | 2992 | 1 | 56 | 817 | 3 | 11 | 1,111 | 1 | 5 |
| 2000 | 6589 | 1 | 139 | 1243 | 3 | 14 | 1,644 | 0 | 15 |
| 2500 | 3433 | 2 | 44 | 880 | 1 | 11 | 1,758 | 1 | 2 |
| 3000 | 2373 | 4 | 35 | 724 | 1 | 7 | 1,551 | 0 | 2 |
| 3500 | 1675 | 0 | 26 | 587 | 2 | 3 | 1,347 | 3 | 7 |
| 4000 | 1399 | 3 | 31 | 521 | 8 | 2 | 1,106 | 0 | 3 |
| 4500 | 1129 | 4 | 13 | 385 | 10 | 0 | 803 | 0 | 4 |
| 5000 | 733 | 3 | 7 | 205 | 4 | 0 | 484 | 1 | 0 |
| 5500 | 220 | 5 | 0 | 74 | 1 | 0 | 164 | 0 | 0 |

Table 9. Number of phosphate range outliers as a function of depth in the Pacific Ocean. ( $\mathrm{N}=$ number of observations, High= outliers exceeding high range values, Low= outliers below the low range values)

|  | North Pacific |  |  | Equatorial Pacific |  |  | South Pacific |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth | N | High | Low | N | High | Low | N | High | Low |
| 0 | 24330 | 300 | 0 | 6439 | 118 | 0 | 5,033 | 471 | 0 |
| 10 | 20273 | 252 | 0 | 4325 | 88 | 0 | 2,795 | 427 | 0 |
| 20 | 17498 | 254 | 0 | 4598 | 109 | 0 | 3,742 | 444 | 0 |
| 30 | 17860 | 278 | 0 | 3806 | 128 | 0 | 2,623 | 434 | 0 |
| 50 | 25192 | 160 | 0 | 6305 | 76 | 0 | 4,345 | 326 | 0 |
| 75 | 22379 | 57 | 0 | 6026 | 23 | 0 | 3,592 | 7 | 0 |
| 100 | 22754 | 96 | 0 | 6487 | 26 | 0 | 3,787 | 16 | 0 |
| 125 | 13424 | 19 | 0 | 4923 | 3 | 0 | 1,670 | 3 | 0 |
| 150 | 22068 | 76 | 0 | 7916 | 6 | 0 | 3,569 | 17 | 0 |
| 200 | 23285 | 34 | 0 | 8129 | 8 | 120 | 3,940 | 10 | 0 |
| 250 | 14065 | 17 | 0 | 6609 | 6 | 90 | 2,345 | Q | 0 |
| 300 | 19817 | 37 | 0 | 7071 | 22 | 211 | 3,677 | 20 | 0 |
| 400 | 18895 | 67 | 0 | 6074 | 8 | 152 | 2,828 | 6 | 268 |
| 500 | 18270 | 49 | 199 | 4792 | 14 | 164 | 2,617 | 11 | 232 |
| 600 | 14243 | 53 | 104 | 3008 | 6 | 90 | 1,662 | 3 | 210 |
| 700 | 9035 | 31 | 157 | 1856 | 11 | 66 | 1,707 | 5 | 171 |
| 800 | 10777 | 66 | 237 | 2102 | 15 | 60 | 1,402 | 2 | 173 |
| 900 | 6828 | 48 | 63 | 1640 | 8 | 33 | 1,326 | 5 | 95 |
| 1000 | 9010 | 102 | 217 | 1901 | 14 | 76 | 1,363 | 2 | 161 |
| 1100 | 5176 | 58 | 65 | 1207 | 10 | 30 | 1,088 | 10 | 82 |
| 1200 | 6532 | 62 | 133 | 1097 | 4 | 47 | 954 | 7 | 123 |
| 1300 | 3145 | 30 | 44 | 703 | 4 | 28 | 754 | 0 | 49 |
| 1400 | 2852 | 26 | 47 | 533 | 2 | 17 | 455 | 0 | 46 |
| 1500 | 4807 | 30 | 145 | 943 | 8 | 44 | 1,057 | 4 | 112 |
| 1750 | 3719 | 43 | 59 | 618 | 9 | 20 | 584 | 0 | 41 |
| 2000 | 4755 | 49 | 135 | 1087 | 10 | 45 | 1,069 | 2 | 71 |
| 2500 | 4188 | 13 | 80 | 1030 | 6 | 33 | 1,077 | 0 | 31 |
| 3000 | 3745 | 9 | 80 | 832 | 6 | 35 | 957 | 1 | 12 |
| 3500 | 3033 | 28 | 56 | 639 | 3 | 32 | 820 | 1 | 16 |
| 4000 | 2432 | 12 | 37 | 513 | 2 | 10 | 643 | 0 | 16 |
| 4500 | 1776 | 9 | 23 | 320 | 0 | 8 | 433 | 0 | 8 |
| 5000 | 1313 | 8 | 20 | 214 | 0 | 1 | 239 | 0 | 1 |
| 5500 | 695 | 3 | 11 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 33 |  |  |  |

Table 10. Number of phosphate range outliers as a function of depth in the Indian Ocean. ( $\mathrm{N}=$ number of observations, High= outliers exceeding high range values, Low= outliers below the low range values)


Table 11. Number of phosphate range outliers as a function of depth in the Southern and Arctic Oceans( $\mathrm{N}=$ number of observations, High= outliers exceeding high range values, Low= outliers below the low range values)

|  | Southern Ocean |  |  | Arctic Ocean |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | High | Low | N | High | Low |
| 0 | 4035 | 9 | 16 | 10904 | 225 | 0 |
| 10 | 3024 | 10 | 14 | 8383 | 117 | 0 |
| 20 | 3023 | 12 | 11 | 9803 | 93 | 0 |
| 30 | 3387 | 4 | 5 | 5497 | 28 | 0 |
| 50 | 4721 | 14 | 14 | 9528 | 15 | 0 |
| 75 | 3389 | 14 | 12 | 5546 | 7 | 0 |
| 100 | 3407 | 0 | 12 | 8054 | 8 | 0 |
| 125 | 956 | 1 | 5 | 2109 | 4 | 0 |
| 150 | 3145 | 0 | 10 | 4299 | 8 | 0 |
| 200 | 3302 | 6 | 15 | 5924 | 11 | 0 |
| 250 | 1374 | 1 | 12 | 2740 | 5 | 0 |
| 300 | 2945 | 8 | 26 | 4486 | 5 | 0 |
| 400 | 2961 | 9 | 18 | 3021 | 0 | 0 |
| 500 | 1961 | 7 | 19 | 3016 | 3 | 0 |
| 600 | 2071 | 7 | 8 | 1807 | 0 | 0 |
| 700 | 1162 | 1 | 17 | 798 | 1 | 24 |
| 800 | 1815 | 5 | 10 | 2154 | 0 | 68 |
| 900 | 810 | 3 | 4 | 569 | 0 | 45 |
| 1000 | 1778 | 2 | 12 | 2003 | 0 | 61 |
| 1100 | 683 | 2 | 4 | 360 | 0 | 39 |
| 1200 | 839 | 2 | 7 | 662 | 0 | 47 |
| 1300 | 511 | 2 | 3 | 274 | 0 | 29 |
| 1400 | 633 | 1 | 10 | 279 | 0 | 27 |
| 1500 | 1549 | 3 | 11 | 686 | 0 | 46 |
| 1750 | 1112 | 0 | 9 | 466 | 0 | 13 |
| 2000 | 2139 | 4 | 11 | 744 | 0 | 34 |
| 2500 | 2335 | 2 | 12 | 579 | 0 | 16 |
| 3000 | 1997 | 2 | 6 | 399 | 0 | 28 |
| 3500 | 1464 | 6 | 2 | 142 | 0 | 15 |
| 4000 | 879 | 1 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 7 |
| 4500 | 487 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 7 |
| 5000 | 248 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 5 |
| 5500 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Table 12. Nitrate ranges for the Atlantic Ocean as a function of depth.

| Depth | North Atlantic |  | Eq. Atlantic |  | South Atlantic |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | High | Low | High | Low | High |
| 0 | 0.01 | 18.00 | 0.01 | 6.00 | 0.01 | 22.00 |
| 10 | 0.01 | 18.00 | 0.01 | 10.00 | 0.01 | 26.00 |
| 20 | 0.01 | 18.00 | 0.01 | 14.00 | 0.01 | 26.00 |
| 30 | 0.01 | 18.00 | 0.01 | 18.00 | 0.01 | 30.00 |
| 50 | 0.01 | 26.00 | 0.01 | 22.00 | 0.01 | 30.00 |
| 75 | 0.01 | 30.00 | 0.01 | 30.00 | 0.01 | 34.00 |
| 100 | 0.01 | 30.00 | 0.01 | 30.00 | 0.01 | 34.00 |
| 125 | 0.01 | 30.00 | 0.01 | 30.00 | 0.01 | 34.00 |
| 150 | 0.01 | 30.00 | 0.01 | 30.00 | 0.01 | 34.00 |
| 200 | 0.01 | 30.00 | 0.01 | 34.00 | 0.01 | 38.00 |
| 250 | 0.01 | 34.00 | 0.01 | 38.00 | 0.01 | 38.00 |
| 300 | 0.01 | 38.00 | 0.01 | 42.00 | 0.01 | 38.00 |
| 400 | 0.01 | 42.00 | 0.01 | 42.00 | 2.00 | 42.00 |
| 500 | 0.01 | 42.00 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 |
| 600 | 0.01 | 42.00 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 |
| 700 | 6.00 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 |
| 800 | 6.00 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 |
| 900 | 6.00 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 |
| 1000 | 6.00 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 |
| 1100 | 6.00 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 |
| 1200 | 6.00 | 48.00 | 0.01 | 42.00 | 6.00 | 42.00 |
| 1300 | 6.00 | 48.00 | 0.01 | 42.00 | 6.00 | 42.00 |
| 1400 | 6.00 | 48.00 | 2.00 | 42.00 | 6.00 | 42.00 |
| 1500 | 6.00 | 48.00 | 2.00 | 42.00 | 6.00 | 42.00 |
| 1750 | 6.00 | 48.00 | 10.00 | 42.00 | 6.00 | 42.00 |
| 2000 | 6.00 | 48.00 | 10.00 | 42.00 | 6.00 | 42.00 |
| 2500 | 6.00 | 48.00 | 10.00 | 42.00 | 6.00 | 42.00 |
| 3000 | 6.00 | 48.00 | 10.00 | 38.00 | 6.00 | 42.00 |
| 3500 | 10.00 | 48.00 | 10.00 | 38.00 | 6.00 | 42.00 |
| 4000 | 10.00 | 48.00 | 10.00 | 38.00 | 6.00 | 42.00 |
| 4500 | 10.00 | 46.00 | 10.00 | 38.00 | 6.00 | 42.00 |
| 5000 | 10.00 | 44.00 | 10.00 | 38.00 | 10.00 | 42.00 |
| 5500 | 14.00 | 42.00 | 10.00 | 38.00 | 14.00 | 34.00 |

Table 13. Nitrate ranges for the Pacific Ocean as a function of depth.

| Depth | North Pacific |  | Eq. Pacific |  | South Pacific |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | High | Low | High | Low | High |
| 0 | 0.01 | 26.00 | 0.01 | 22.00 | 0.01 | 18.00 |
| 10 | 0.01 | 26.00 | 0.01 | 22.00 | 0.01 | 18.00 |
| 20 | 0.01 | 26.00 | 0.01 | 22.00 | 0.01 | 18.00 |
| 30 | 0.01 | 30.00 | 0.01 | 26.00 | 0.01 | 22.00 |
| 50 | 0.01 | 30.00 | 0.01 | 34.00 | 0.01 | 26.00 |
| 75 | 0.01 | 34.00 | 0.01 | 34.00 | 0.01 | 30.00 |
| 100 | 0.01 | 34.00 | 0.01 | 34.00 | 0.01 | 30.00 |
| 125 | 0.01 | 42.00 | 0.01 | 34.00 | 0.01 | 30.00 |
| 150 | 0.01 | 42.00 | 0.01 | 38.00 | 0.01 | 30.00 |
| 200 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 38.00 | 0.01 | 38.00 |
| 250 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 42.00 | 0.01 | 38.00 |
| 300 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 42.00 | 0.01 | 38.00 |
| 400 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 42.00 | 4.00 | 42.00 |
| 500 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 6.00 | 46.00 |
| 600 | 0.01 | 50.00 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 6.00 | 50.00 |
| 700 | 2.00 | 50.00 | 0.01 | 50.00 | 6.00 | 50.00 |
| 800 | 2.00 | 54.00 | 0.01 | 56.00 | 10.00 | 50.00 |
| 900 | 2.00 | 54.00 | 0.01 | 56.00 | 10.00 | 50.00 |
| 1000 | 2.00 | 54.00 | 0.01 | 56.00 | 10.00 | 50.00 |
| 1100 | 2.00 | 54.00 | 0.01 | 56.00 | 10.00 | 50.00 |
| 1200 | 2.00 | 54.00 | 0.01 | 56.00 | 10.00 | 54.00 |
| 1300 | 2.00 | 54.00 | 0.01 | 50.00 | 10.00 | 54.00 |
| 1400 | 2.00 | 54.00 | 2.00 | 50.00 | 10.00 | 54.00 |
| 1500 | 2.00 | 54.00 | 2.00 | 50.00 | 10.00 | 54.00 |
| 1750 | 2.00 | 54.00 | 2.00 | 50.00 | 10.00 | 54.00 |
| 2000 | 2.00 | 54.00 | 2.00 | 50.00 | 10.00 | 54.00 |
| 2500 | 2.00 | 54.00 | 2.00 | 50.00 | 10.00 | 54.00 |
| 3000 | 2.00 | 50.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 | 10.00 | 54.00 |
| 3500 | 2.00 | 46.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 | 10.00 | 54.00 |
| 4000 | 2.00 | 46.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 | 10.00 | 54.00 |
| 4500 | 2.00 | 42.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 | 10.00 | 42.00 |
| 5000 | 10.00 | 42.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 | 10.00 | 38.00 |
| 5500 | 14.00 | 42.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 | 14.00 | 38.00 |

Table 14. Nitrate ranges for the Indian Ocean as a function of depth.

| Depth | North Indian |  | Eq. Indian |  | South Indian |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | High | Low | High | Low | High |
| 0 | 0.01 | 14.00 | 0.01 | 4.00 | 0.01 | 18.00 |
| 10 | 0.01 | 18.00 | 0.01 | 6.00 | 0.01 | 18.00 |
| 20 | 0.01 | 18.00 | 0.01 | 6.00 | 0.01 | 18.00 |
| 30 | 0.01 | 18.00 | 0.01 | 14.00 | 0.01 | 18.00 |
| 50 | 0.01 | 30.00 | 0.01 | 18.00 | 0.01 | 18.00 |
| 75 | 0.01 | 30.00 | 0.01 | 26.00 | 0.01 | 22.00 |
| 100 | 0.01 | 30.00 | 0.01 | 30.00 | 0.01 | 22.00 |
| 125 | 0.01 | 42.00 | 0.01 | 34.00 | 0.01 | 26.00 |
| 150 | 0.01 | 42.00 | 0.01 | 34.00 | 0.01 | 30.00 |
| 200 | 0.01 | 42.00 | 0.01 | 38.00 | 0.01 | 30.00 |
| 250 | 2.00 | 42.00 | 0.01 | 38.00 | 0.01 | 30.00 |
| 300 | 2.00 | 50.00 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 30.00 |
| 400 | 2.00 | 50.00 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 34.00 |
| 500 | 2.00 | 50.00 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 34.00 |
| 600 | 2.00 | 50.00 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 38.00 |
| 700 | 2.00 | 54.00 | 0.01 | 54.00 | 0.01 | 46.00 |
| 800 | 2.00 | 54.00 | 0.01 | 54.00 | 0.01 | 46.00 |
| 900 | 2.00 | 54.00 | 0.01 | 54.00 | 0.01 | 46.00 |
| 1000 | 2.00 | 54.00 | 0.01 | 54.00 | 0.01 | 46.00 |
| 1100 | 2.00 | 54.00 | 0.01 | 54.00 | 0.01 | 46.00 |
| 1200 | 2.00 | 54.00 | 0.01 | 54.00 | 0.01 | 46.00 |
| 1300 | 2.00 | 54.00 | 0.01 | 54.00 | 0.01 | 46.00 |
| 1400 | 2.00 | 54.00 | 0.01 | 54.00 | 0.01 | 46.00 |
| 1500 | 2.00 | 54.00 | 2.00 | 54.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 |
| 1750 | 2.00 | 54.00 | 2.00 | 54.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 |
| 2000 | 2.00 | 54.00 | 2.00 | 54.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 |
| 2500 | 4.00 | 54.00 | 2.00 | 54.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 |
| 3000 | 4.00 | 54.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 |
| 3500 | 4.00 | 54.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 |
| 4000 | 4.00 | 46.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 |
| 4500 | 4.00 | 46.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 |
| 5000 | 4.00 | 46.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 |
| 5500 | 10.00 | 46.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 | 10.00 | 46.00 |

Table 15. Nitrate ranges for the Southern and Arctic Oceans as a function of depth.

| Depth | Southern Ocean |  | Arctic Ocean |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | High | Low | High |
| 0 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 18.00 |
| 10 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 18.00 |
| 20 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 18.00 |
| 30 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 18.00 |
| 50 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 18.00 |
| 75 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 18.00 |
| 100 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 22.00 |
| 125 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 22.00 |
| 150 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 22.00 |
| 200 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 26.00 |
| 250 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 26.00 |
| 300 | 0.01 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 26.00 |
| 400 | 4.00 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 28.00 |
| 500 | 6.00 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 28.00 |
| 600 | 6.00 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 32.00 |
| 700 | 6.00 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 32.00 |
| 800 | 14.00 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 42.00 |
| 900 | 14.00 | 46.00 | 0.01 | 42.00 |
| 1000 | 14.00 | 50.00 | 0.01 | 46.00 |
| 1100 | 14.00 | 50.00 | 0.01 | 46.00 |
| 1200 | 14.00 | 50.00 | 0.01 | 46.00 |
| 1300 | 14.00 | 50.00 | 0.01 | 50.00 |
| 1400 | 14.00 | 50.00 | 0.01 | 50.00 |
| 1500 | 14.00 | 50.00 | 0.01 | 50.00 |
| 1750 | 14.00 | 50.00 | 0.01 | 50.00 |
| 2000 | 14.00 | 50.00 | 0.01 | 54.00 |
| 2500 | 14.00 | 50.00 | 0.01 | 54.00 |
| 3000 | 14.00 | 50.00 | 0.01 | 54.00 |
| 3500 | 14.00 | 46.00 | 2.00 | 54.00 |
| 4000 | 14.00 | 46.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 |
| 4500 | 14.00 | 42.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 |
| 5000 | 14.00 | 42.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 |
| 5500 | 18.00 | 42.00 | 2.00 | 46.00 |

Table 16.Number of nitrate range outliers as a function of depth in the Atlantic Ocean. ( $\mathrm{N}=$ number of observations, High= outliers exceeding high range values, Low= outliers below the low range values)

|  | North Atlantic |  |  | Equatorial Atlantic |  |  | South Atlantic |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth | N | High | Low | N | High | Low | N | Hig |  |
| 0 | 3979 | 270 | 619 | 1771 | 84 | 448 | 1924 | 27 | 82 |
| 10 | 2793 | 255 | 430 | 1069 | 75 | 214 | 1261 | 11 | 40 |
| 20 | 2920 | 246 | 328 | 1269 | 70 | 242 | 1457 | 15 | 43 |
| 30 | 2269 | 272 | 291 | 1541 | 66 | 195 | 1373 | 6 | 52 |
| 50 | 3715 | 129 | 386 | 1887 | 52 | 208 | 1810 | 11 | 59 |
| 75 | 2740 | 55 | 246 | 1782 | 10 | 171 | 1502 | 6 | 40 |
| 100 | 3519 | 48 | 208 | 1575 | 10 | 90 | 1675 | 8 | 24 |
| 125 | 1044 | 13 | 67 | 891 | 2 | 27 | 626 | 1 | 17 |
| 150 | 2522 | 41 | 94 | 1443 | 9 | 17 | 1522 | 6 | 7 |
| 200 | 2965 | 47 | 78 | 1402 | 9 | 30 | 1857 | 5 |  |
| 250 | 1295 | 10 | 58 | 1043 | 0 | 14 | 1219 | 2 | 0 |
| 300 | 2684 | 9 | 74 | 1212 | 0 | 12 | 1552 | 10 | 2 |
| 400 | 2440 | 10 | 58 | 1344 | 9 | 2 | 1983 | 2 | 21 |
| 500 | 2174 | 11 | 48 | 1109 | 3 | 2 | 1718 | 2 | 17 |
| 600 | 1835 | 5 | 42 | 851 | 1 | 0 | 1564 | 4 | 12 |
| 700 | 1368 | 8 | 50 | 635 | 6 | 0 | 1336 | 1 | 12 |
| 800 | 1668 | 1 | 90 | 641 | 2 | 0 | 1250 | 3 | 15 |
| 900 | 1167 | 3 | 37 | 420 | 6 | 0 | 939 | 2 | 6 |
| 1000 | 1641 | 8 | 86 | 496 | 5 | 1 | 952 | 4 | 18 |
| 1100 | 614 | 4 | 37 | 343 | 0 | 1 | 761 | 1 | 3 |
| 1200 | 1186 | 1 | 57 | 345 | 1 | 0 | 698 | 9 | 25 |
| 1300 | 584 | 2 | 36 | 244 | 1 | 0 | 604 | 1 | 9 |
| 1400 | 828 | 0 | 26 | 218 | 0 | 1 | 581 | 4 | 9 |
| 1500 | 1467 | 0 | 80 | 404 | 0 | 1 | 820 | 5 | 41 |
| 1750 | 1273 | 1 | 30 | 259 | 0 | 3 | 806 | 2 | 4 |
| 2000 | 1632 | 0 | 26 | 329 | 0 | 3 | 961 | 2 | 6 |
| 2500 | 1576 | 0 | 25 | 293 | 0 | 1 | 1122 | 2 | 9 |
| 3000 | 1117 | 0 | 16 | 276 | 0 | 3 | 1049 | 3 | 7 |
| 3500 | 852 | 0 | 20 | 240 | 0 | 1 | 943 | 3 | 5 |
| 4000 | 711 | 0 | 11 | 243 | 0 | 0 | 786 | 5 | 6 |
| 4500 | 591 | 0 | 10 | 189 | 0 | 0 | 579 | 1 | 8 |
| 5000 | 412 | 0 | 11 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 394 | 0 | 6 |
| 5500 | 129 | 0 | 3 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 148 | 10 | 0 |

Table 17. Number of nitrate range outliers as a function of depth in the Pacific Ocean. ( $\mathrm{N}=$ number of observations, High= outliers exceeding high range values, Low= outliers below the low range values)

|  | North Pacific |  |  | Equatorial Pacific |  |  | South Pacific |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth | N | High | Low | N | High | Low | N | High | Low |
| 0 | 8243 | 150 | 2226 | 3246 | 22 | 787 | 1096 | 3 | 326 |
| 10 | 6473 | 127 | 1904 | 2199 | 20 | 577 | 414 | 4 | 104 |
| 20 | 5620 | 153 | 1552 | 2167 | 33 | 458 | 568 | 2 | 151 |
| 30 | 6556 | 104 | 1533 | 1834 | 33 | 341 | 385 | 3 | 78 |
| 50 | 9342 | 116 | 1880 | 3363 | 22 | 519 | 1086 | 1 | 289 |
| 75 | 8990 | 80 | 1224 | 3434 | 29 | 295 | 1037 | 4 | 239 |
| 100 | 8733 | 103 | 716 | 3831 | 16 | 127 | 1113 | 3 | 226 |
| 125 | 6152 | 11 | 257 | 3324 | 20 | 37 | 690 | 5 | 100 |
| 150 | 9358 | 36 | 171 | 5235 | 10 | 16 | 1216 | 7 | 129 |
| 200 | 9804 | 28 | 57 | 5380 | 19 | 5 | 1350 | 1 | 37 |
| 250 | 6111 | 15 | 26 | 4388 | 5 | 4 | 1002 | 1 | 2 |
| 300 | 8033 | 26 | 48 | 4439 | 10 | 3 | 1378 | 7 | 2 |
| 400 | 7175 | 33 | 24 | 3463 | 25 | 5 | 1151 | 4 | 8 |
| 500 | 6838 | 48 | 34 | 2677 | 12 | 4 | 941 | 3 | 2 |
| 600 | 5006 | 9 | 20 | 1635 | 21 | 4 | 732 | 1 | 2 |
| 700 | 3018 | 10 | 60 | 1076 | 4 | 5 | 741 | 2 | 1 |
| 800 | 3515 | 10 | 65 | 1139 | 4 | 3 | 591 | 6 | 4 |
| 900 | 2490 | 5 | 32 | 931 | 2 | 2 | 595 | 4 | 2 |
| 1000 | 3001 | 11 | 46 | 1050 | 3 | 1 | 620 | 4 | 0 |
| 1100 | 1699 | 5 | 21 | 625 | 0 | 1 | 453 | 1 | 1 |
| 1200 | 2023 | 11 | 33 | 582 | 0 | 2 | 388 | 1 | 0 |
| 1300 | 1166 | 1 | 25 | 395 | 2 | 2 | 345 | 0 | 0 |
| 1400 | 1140 | 6 | 18 | 291 | 0 | 3 | 215 | 1 | 1 |
| 1500 | 1865 | 6 | 27 | 540 | 0 | 5 | 418 | 0 | 0 |
| 1750 | 1643 | 5 | 12 | 367 | 1 | 1 | 391 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 | 2430 | 9 | 16 | 655 | 0 | 2 | 599 | 0 | 0 |
| 2500 | 2291 | 3 | 10 | 675 | 1 | 0 | 700 | 0 | 0 |
| 3000 | 2178 | 7 | 10 | 569 | 3 | 0 | 703 | 0 | 0 |
| 3500 | 1911 | 2 | 7 | 433 | 1 | 0 | 645 | 0 | 0 |
| 4000 | 1610 | 4 | 2 | 375 | 0 | 0 | 506 | 0 | 0 |
| 4500 | 1397 | 4 | 1 | 251 | 0 | 0 | 339 | 2 | 0 |
| 5000 | 1057 | 5 | 30 | 171 | 0 | 0 | 201 | 2 | 0 |
| 5500 | 591 | 0 | 12 | 59 | 1 | 0 | 74 | 1 | 0 |
|  |  |  |  | 41 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 18. Number of nitrate range outliers as a function of depth in the Indian Ocean. ( $\mathrm{N}=$ number of observations, High= outliers exceeding high range values, Low= outliers below the low range values)

|  |  | North Indian |  |  | Equatorial |  |  | South Indian |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth | N | High | Low | N |  | High Low | N | High | Low |
| 0 | 228 | 5 | 23 | 861 | 4 | 341 | 1187 | 5 | 441 |
| 10 | 79 | 0 | 23 | 294 | 0 | 159 | 322 | 5 | 119 |
| 20 | 217 | 2 | 27 | 702 | 1 | 341 | 1018 | 7 | 387 |
| 30 | 103 | 1 | 21 | 413 | 0 | 157 | 391 | 5 | 153 |
| 50 | 234 | 1 | 21 | 890 | 0 | 228 | 1257 | 6 | 392 |
| 75 | 231 | 2 | 4 | 902 | 0 | 67 | 1064 | 3 | 250 |
| 100 | 258 | 2 | 0 | 998 | 3 | 6 | 1307 | 8 | 136 |
| 125 | 136 | 0 | 0 | 607 | 5 | 0 | 691 | 1 | 20 |
| 150 | 254 | 0 | 0 | 877 | 5 | 0 | 1250 | 0 | 28 |
| 200 | 258 | 3 | 0 | 908 | 3 | 0 | 1372 | 3 | 11 |
| 250 | 88 | 0 | 1 | 617 | 3 | 2 | 752 | 5 | 2 |
| 300 | 270 | 0 | 0 | 863 | 1 | 1 | 1348 | 6 | 1 |
| 400 | 274 | 2 | 0 | 787 | 4 | 0 | 1068 | 4 | 3 |
| 500 | 208 | 0 | 1 | 720 | 3 | 1 | 1130 | 9 | 4 |
| 600 | 192 | 1 | 0 | 531 | 4 | 0 | 696 | 8 | 0 |
| 700 | 95 | 2 | 0 | 204 | 3 | 0 | 650 | 2 | 1 |
| 800 | 182 | 1 | 0 | 417 | 0 | 0 | 536 | 3 | 1 |
| 900 | 93 | 1 | 1 | 126 | 3 | 0 | 538 | 1 | 0 |
| 1000 | 182 | 1 | 0 | 429 | 2 | 0 | 521 | 5 | 0 |
| 1100 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 120 | 1 | 0 | 464 | 4 | 0 |
| 1200 | 90 | 1 | 1 | 268 | 2 | 1 | 377 | 4 | 0 |
| 1300 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 1 | 0 | 454 | 2 | 0 |
| 1400 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 242 | 3 | 0 |
| 1500 | 89 | 1 | 0 | 248 | 0 | 3 | 563 | 1 | 7 |
| 1750 | 78 | 1 | 0 | 173 | 1 | 0 | 272 | 7 | 1 |
| 2000 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 331 | 2 | 0 | 587 | 5 | 4 |
| 2500 | 98 | 1 | 0 | 286 | 0 | 1 | 510 | 4 | 4 |
| 3000 | 90 | 1 | 0 | 213 | 0 | 0 | 388 | 1 | 3 |
| 3500 | 53 | 0 | 0 | 153 | 1 | 1 | 313 | 2 | 0 |
| 4000 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 165 | 1 | 1 | 286 | 1 | 0 |
| 4500 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 1 | 1 |
| 5000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 2 |
| 5500 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |

Table 19. Number of nitrate range outliers as a function of depth in the Southern and Arctic Oceans. ( $\mathrm{N}=$ = number of observations, High= outliers exceeding high range values, Low= outliers below the low range values)

|  | Southern Ocean |  |  | Arctic Ocean |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | High | Low | N | High | Low |
| 0 | 1490 | 0 | 8 | 4198 | 5 | 447 |
| 10 | 945 | 0 | 9 | 3401 | 8 | 335 |
| 20 | 933 | 1 | 2 | 3360 | 8 | 178 |
| 30 | 901 | 2 | 3 | 2593 | 17 | 84 |
| 50 | 1451 | 3 | 1 | 3057 | 7 | 30 |
| 75 | 1196 | 5 | 1 | 1889 | 5 | 9 |
| 100 | 1266 | 4 | 0 | 2285 | 1 | 3 |
| 125 | 543 | 1 | 0 | 436 | 0 | 2 |
| 150 | 1160 | 4 | 1 | 1383 | 2 | 3 |
| 200 | 1273 | 6 | 1 | 1538 | 1 | 1 |
| 250 | 692 | 3 | 0 | 857 | 0 | 0 |
| 300 | 1161 | 7 | 1 | 1135 | 0 | 2 |
| 400 | 1263 | 6 | 3 | 926 | 0 | 0 |
| 500 | 907 | 6 | 1 | 775 | 0 | 0 |
| 600 | 943 | 10 | 0 | 458 | 0 | 0 |
| 700 | 595 | 0 | 2 | 392 | 0 | 1 |
| 800 | 749 | 4 | 4 | 346 | 0 | 2 |
| 900 | 521 | 2 | 5 | 243 | 0 | 0 |
| 1000 | 744 | 3 | 17 | 285 | 0 | 0 |
| 1100 | 426 | 1 | 4 | 161 | 0 | 0 |
| 1200 | 529 | 2 | 3 | 238 | 0 | 0 |
| 1300 | 373 | 1 | 1 | 126 | 0 | 0 |
| 1400 | 412 | 0 | 2 | 136 | 0 | 0 |
| 1500 | 691 | 2 | 5 | 264 | 0 | 0 |
| 1750 | 849 | 1 | 6 | 245 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 | 1198 | 0 | 13 | 356 | 0 | 0 |
| 2500 | 1466 | 1 | 2 | 303 | 0 | 0 |
| 3000 | 1246 | 0 | 4 | 233 | 0 | 0 |
| 3500 | 919 | 2 | 2 | 90 | 0 | 4 |
| 4000 | 527 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 3 |
| 4500 | 296 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 1 |
| 5000 | 185 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| 5500 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 |

Table 20. Silicate ranges for the Atlantic Ocean as a function of depth.

| Depth | North Atlantic |  | Eq. Atlantic |  | South Atlantic |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | High | Low | High | Low | High |
| 0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 |
| 10 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 |
| 20 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 |
| 30 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 |
| 50 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 |
| 75 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 30.0 |
| 100 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 |
| 125 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 |
| 150 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 |
| 200 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 |
| 250 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 2.0 | 60.0 | 2.0 | 40.0 |
| 300 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 2.0 | 80.0 | 2.0 | 40.0 |
| 400 | 0.0 | 100.0 | 2.0 | 80.0 | 2.0 | 40.0 |
| 500 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 2.0 | 80.0 | 2.0 | 80.0 |
| 600 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 2.0 | 80.0 | 2.0 | 80.0 |
| 700 | 2.0 | 120.0 | 2.0 | 80.0 | 2.0 | 80.0 |
| 800 | 2.0 | 120.0 | 2.0 | 80.0 | 2.0 | 80.0 |
| 900 | 2.0 | 120.0 | 2.0 | 80.0 | 5.0 | 120.0 |
| 1000 | 2.0 | 120.0 | 2.0 | 80.0 | 5.0 | 120.0 |
| 1100 | 2.0 | 120.0 | 2.0 | 80.0 | 5.0 | 120.0 |
| 1200 | 2.0 | 120.0 | 2.0 | 80.0 | 5.0 | 120.0 |
| 1300 | 2.0 | 120.0 | 2.0 | 80.0 | 5.0 | 120.0 |
| 1400 | 2.0 | 120.0 | 2.0 | 80.0 | 5.0 | 120.0 |
| 1500 | 2.0 | 120.0 | 2.0 | 80.0 | 5.0 | 120.0 |
| 1750 | 2.0 | 120.0 | 2.0 | 80.0 | 5.0 | 120.0 |
| 2000 | 5.0 | 130.0 | 5.0 | 80.0 | 5.0 | 120.0 |
| 2500 | 10.. 0 | 150.0 | 5.0 | 80.0 | 5.0 | 120.0 |
| 3000 | 10.. 0 | 150.0 | 5.0 | 80.0 | 5.0 | 140.0 |
| 3500 | 15.0 | 150.0 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 5.0 | 140.0 |
| 4000 | 15.0 | 150.0 | $10 . .0$ | 100.0 | 10.0 | 160.0 |
| 4500 | 15.0 | 150.0 | 15.0 | 120.0 | 10.0 | 160.0 |
| 5000 | 15.0 | 150.0 | 30.0 | 160.0 | 20.0 | 160.0 |
| 5500 | 15.0 | 150.0 | 30.0 | 160.0 | 20.0 | 160.0 |

Table 21. Silicate ranges for the Pacific Ocean as a function of depth.

| Depth | North Pacific |  | Equatorial Pacific |  | South Pacific |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | High | Low | High | Low | High |
| 0 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 |
| 10 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 |
| 20 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 |
| 30 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 |
| 50 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 |
| 75 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 |
| 100 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 |
| 125 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 |
| 150 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 |
| 200 | 2.0 | 80.0 | 2.0 | 80.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 |
| 250 | 2.0 | 80.0 | 2.0 | 80.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 |
| 300 | 2.0 | 80.0 | 2.0 | 80.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 |
| 400 | 2.0 | 100.0 | 2.0 | 80.0 | 1.0 | 100.0 |
| 500 | 5.0 | 140.0 | 5.0 | 100.0 | 1.0 | 120.0 |
| 600 | 5.0 | 140.0 | 5.0 | 120.0 | 1.0 | 120.0 |
| 700 | 10.0 | 140.0 | 5.0 | 120.0 | 1.0 | 120.0 |
| 800 | 10.0 | 140.0 | 5.0 | 120.0 | 1.0 | 120.0 |
| 900 | 10.0 | 160.0 | 5.0 | 140.0 | 2.0 | 120.0 |
| 1000 | 15.0 | 180.0 | 5.0 | 140.0 | 2.0 | 120.0 |
| 1100 | 15.0 | 180.0 | 10.0 | 180.0 | 2.0 | 120.0 |
| 1200 | 15.0 | 180.0 | 10.0 | 180.0 | 2.0 | 120.0 |
| 1300 | 15.0 | 180.0 | 10.0 | 180.0 | 5.0 | 160.0 |
| 1400 | 15.0 | 180.0 | 15.0 | 180.0 | 5.0 | 160.0 |
| 1500 | 15.0 | 200.0 | 15.0 | 180.0 | 10.0 | 160.0 |
| 1750 | 20.0 | 200.0 | 15.0 | 180.0 | 10.0 | 160.0 |
| 2000 | 20.0 | 200.0 | 15.0 | 180.0 | 15.0 | 160.0 |
| 2500 | 20.0 | 200.0 | 15.0 | 180.0 | 15.0 | 180.0 |
| 3000 | 20.0 | 200.0 | 15.0 | 180.0 | 15.0 | 180.0 |
| 3500 | 20.0 | 200.0 | 15.0 | 180.0 | 15.0 | 180.0 |
| 4000 | 20.0 | 200.0 | 15.0 | 180.0 | 15.0 | 180.0 |
| 4500 | 30.0 | 200.0 | 15.0 | 180.0 | 15.0 | 180.0 |
| 5000 | 30.0 | 200.0 | 20.0 | 180.0 | 15.0 | 180.0 |
| 5500 | 30.0 | 200.0 | 20.0 | 180.0 | 15.0 | 180.0 |

Table 22. Silicate ranges for the Indian Ocean as a function of depth.

| Depth | North Indian |  | Equatorial Indian |  | South Indian |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | High | Low | High | Low | High |
| 0 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 |
| 10 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 |
| 20 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 |
| 30 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 |
| 50 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 |
| 75 | 0.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 |
| 100 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 |
| 125 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 |
| 150 | 0.0 | 60.0 | 2.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 |
| 200 | 5.0 | 80.0 | 2.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 80.0 |
| 250 | 10.0 | 80.0 | 2.0 | 60.0 | 2.0 | 80.0 |
| 300 | 10.0 | 80.0 | 2.0 | 60.0 | 2.0 | 80.0 |
| 400 | 10.0 | 80.0 | 2.0 | 60.0 | 2.0 | 80.0 |
| 500 | 10.0 | 80.0 | 5.0 | 80.0 | 2.0 | 80.0 |
| 600 | 10.0 | 80.0 | 5.0 | 80.0 | 2.0 | 100.0 |
| 700 | 10.0 | 80.0 | 10.0 | 80.0 | 2.0 | 100.0 |
| 800 | 10.0 | 80.0 | 10.0 | 80.0 | 2.0 | 100.0 |
| 900 | 15.0 | 120.0 | 15.0 | 120.0 | 5.0 | 120.0 |
| 1000 | 15.0 | 120.0 | 15.0 | 120.0 | 5.0 | 120.0 |
| 1100 | 20.0 | 120.0 | 15.0 | 120.0 | 5.0 | 120.0 |
| 1200 | 20.0 | 120.0 | 15.0 | 120.0 | 5.0 | 120.0 |
| 1300 | 20.0 | 120.0 | 15.0 | 120.0 | 10.0 | 120.0 |
| 1400 | 25.0 | 160.0 | 20.0 | 140.0 | 10.0 | 120.0 |
| 1500 | 25.0 | 160.0 | 20.0 | 140.0 | 15.0 | 140.0 |
| 1750 | 30.0 | 160.0 | 20.0 | 140.0 | 15.0 | 140.0 |
| 2000 | 30.0 | 160.0 | 30.0 | 160.0 | 20.0 | 160.0 |
| 2500 | 30.0 | 160.0 | 30.0 | 160.0 | 20.0 | 160.0 |
| 3000 | 30.0 | 180.0 | 30.0 | 160.0 | 20.0 | 160.0 |
| 3500 | 30.0 | 180.0 | 30.0 | 160.0 | 20.0 | 160.0 |
| 4000 | 30.0 | 180.0 | 30.0 | 160.0 | 20.0 | 160.0 |
| 4500 | 30.0 | 180.0 | 30.0 | 160.0 | 20.0 | 160.0 |
| 5000 | 30.0 | 180.0 | 30.0 | 160.0 | 20.0 | 160.0 |
| 5500 | 30.0 | 180.0 |  | 160.0 | 20.0 | 160.0 |

Table 23. Silicate ranges for the Southern and Arctic Oceans as a function of depth.

| Depth | Southern Ocean |  | Arctic Ocean |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Low | High | Low | High |
| 0 | 0.0 | 120.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 10 | 0.0 | 120.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 20 | 0.0 | 120.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 30 | 0.0 | 120.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 50 | 0.0 | 120.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 75 | 0.0 | 120.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 100 | 0.0 | 120.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 125 | 0.0 | 120.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 150 | 5.0 | 140.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 200 | 5.0 | 140.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 250 | 5.0 | 140.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| 300 | 5.0 | 140.0 | 2.0 | 120.0 |
| 400 | 5.0 | 140.0 | 2.0 | 120.0 |
| 500 | 5.0 | 160.0 | 2.0 | 120.0 |
| 600 | 10.0 | 160.0 | 2.0 | 120.0 |
| 700 | 10.0 | 160.0 | 2.0 | 120.0 |
| 800 | 15.0 | 160.0 | 5.0 | 80.0 |
| 900 | 25.0 | 160.0 | 5.0 | 80.0 |
| 1000 | 25.0 | 160.0 | 5.0 | 80.0 |
| 1100 | 25.0 | 160.0 | 5.0 | 80.0 |
| 1200 | 25.0 | 160.0 | 5.0 | 80.0 |
| 1300 | 25.0 | 160.0 | 5.0 | 80.0 |
| 1400 | 25.0 | 160.0 | 5.0 | 80.0 |
| 1500 | 30.0 | 160.0 | 5.0 | 80.0 |
| 1750 | 30.0 | 160.0 | 5.0 | 80.0 |
| 2000 | 30.0 | 160.0 | 5.0 | 80.0 |
| 2500 | 35.0 | 160.0 | 5.0 | 80.0 |
| 3000 | 35.0 | 160.0 | 5.0 | 80.0 |
| 3500 | 35.0 | 160.0 | 5.0 | 80.0 |
| 4000 | 35.0 | 160.0 | 5.0 | 80.0 |
| 4500 | 35.0 | 160.0 | 5.0 | 80.0 |
| 5000 | 35.0 | 160.0 | 5.0 | 80.0 |
| 5500 | 35.0 | 160.0 | 5.0 | 80.0 |

Table 24. Number of silicate range outliers as a function of depth in the Atlantic Ocean. ( $\mathrm{N}=$ number of observations, High= outliers exceeding high range values, Low= outliers below the low range values)

|  | North Atlantic |  |  | Equatorial Atlantic |  |  | South Atlantic |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth | N | High Low |  | N | High | Low | N | High | Low |
| 0 | 7347 | 99 | 0 | 2509 | 11 | 0 | 2288 | 57 | 0 |
| 10 | 4956 | 36 | 0 | 1904 | 6 | 0 | 1436 | 40 | 0 |
| 20 | 4676 | 22 | 0 | 1851 | 5 | 0 | 1650 | 13 | 0 |
| 30 | 4798 | 37 | 0 | 2300 | 8 | 0 | 1536 | 42 | 0 |
| 50 | 7300 | 83 | 0 | 2691 | 4 | 0 | 2121 | 62 | 0 |
| 75 | 5112 | 35 | 0 | 2584 | 5 | 0 | 1773 | 12 | 0 |
| 100 | 7026 | 132 | 0 | 2469 | 7 | 0 | 1913 | 40 | 0 |
| 125 | 2124 | 27 | 0 | 1308 | 2 | 0 | 732 | 7 | 0 |
| 150 | 5017 | 140 | 0 | 2139 | 1 | 0 | 1824 | 34 | 0 |
| 200 | 6354 | 111 | 0 | 2370 | 4 | 0 | 2177 | 56 | 0 |
| 250 | 3222 | 67 | 0 | 1526 | 7 | 16 | 1393 | 25 | 78 |
| 300 | 5885 | 141 | 0 | 2128 | 2 | 10 | 1959 | 92 | 52 |
| 400 | 5275 | 117 | 0 | 2202 | 2 | 11 | 2386 | 125 | 36 |
| 500 | 5221 | 135 | 405 | 2032 | 6 | 7 | 2014 | 30 | 16 |
| 600 | 4110 | 169 | 214 | 1378 | 1 | 6 | 1845 | 41 | 15 |
| 700 | 2821 | 102 | 61 | 879 | 1 | 1 | 1483 | 41 | 4 |
| 800 | 3806 | 122 | 129 | 1081 | 11 | 4 | 1536 | 61 | 12 |
| 900 | 2591 | 129 | 32 | 668 | 4 | 1 | 1065 | 26 | 6 |
| 1000 | 3505 | 114 | 104 | 983 | 7 | 6 | 1211 | 66 | 10 |
| 1100 | 1785 | 73 | 19 | 549 | 3 | 4 | 835 | 18 | 1 |
| 1200 | 2372 | 78 | 45 | 615 | 2 | 1 | 830 | 43 | 10 |
| 1300 | 1370 | 47 | 12 | 359 | 2 | 1 | 654 | 19 | 1 |
| 1400 | 1508 | 42 | 13 | 336 | 0 | 1 | 710 | 16 | 2 |
| 1500 | 2951 | 86 | 46 | 698 | 1 | 3 | 1020 | 54 | 7 |
| 1750 | 2539 | 95 | 16 | 430 | 1 | 4 | 933 | 13 | 1 |
| 2000 | 3291 | 150 | 59 | 589 | 0 | 2 | 1261 | 20 | 5 |
| 2500 | 2967 | 131 | 149 | 469 | 1 | 3 | 1449 | 31 | 4 |
| 3000 | 2289 | 150 | 97 | 452 | 3 | 0 | 1346 | 13 | 3 |
| 3500 | 1734 | 132 | 101 | 404 | 0 | 0 | 1181 | 20 | 1 |
| 4000 | 1536 | 124 | 34 | 396 | 1 | 0 | 997 | 11 | 1 |
| 4500 | 1344 | 117 | 15 | 290 | 2 | 0 | 727 | 13 | 1 |
| 5000 | 974 | 69 | 10 | 153 | 0 | 5 | 462 | 6 | 0 |
| 5500 | 286 | 1 | 3 | 49 | 1 | 0 | 163 | 0 | 0 |

Table 25. Number of silicate range outliers as a function of depth in the Pacific Ocean. ( $\mathrm{N}=$ number of observations, High= outliers exceeding high range values, Low= outliers below the low range values)

|  |  | North Pacific | Equatorial Pacific |  |  |  |  | South |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Depth | N | High | Low | N | high | Low | N | High | Low |
| 0 | 14525 | 117 | 0 | 3869 | 70 | 0 | 4188 | 229 | 0 |
| 10 | 11090 | 104 | 0 | 2452 | 59 | 0 | 2545 | 195 | 0 |
| 20 | 9444 | 116 | 0 | 2672 | 63 | 0 | 2931 | 190 | 0 |
| 30 | 11277 | 111 | 0 | 2278 | 79 | 0 | 2703 | 188 | 0 |
| 50 | 15613 | 150 | 0 | 3747 | 79 | 0 | 3349 | 178 | 1 |
| 75 | 13111 | 58 | 0 | 3618 | 105 | 0 | 2889 | 156 | 0 |
| 100 | 13866 | 79 | 0 | 3909 | 112 | 0 | 3013 | 135 | 1 |
| 125 | 8438 | 43 | 0 | 2852 | 74 | 0 | 1664 | 55 | 0 |
| 150 | 12862 | 193 | 0 | 4559 | 149 | 0 | 2852 | 117 | 0 |
| 200 | 13986 | 402 | 550 | 4215 | 149 | 198 | 3351 | 107 | 0 |
| 250 | 8382 | 264 | 180 | 3267 | 133 | 31 | 2317 | 52 | 125 |
| 300 | 11453 | 622 | 170 | 3621 | 153 | 44 | 3076 | 76 | 198 |
| 400 | 10634 | 398 | 69 | 2787 | 55 | 30 | 2254 | 47 | 112 |
| 500 | 10644 | 105 | 129 | 2406 | 53 | 90 | 1818 | 12 | 99 |
| 600 | 7546 | 107 | 53 | 1615 | 21 | 31 | 1428 | 9 | 64 |
| 700 | 5060 | 137 | 38 | 1021 | 4 | 15 | 1231 | 10 | 72 |
| 800 | 5584 | 365 | 50 | 1146 | 39 | 26 | 1216 | 5 | 38 |
| 900 | 3591 | 71 | 22 | 862 | 8 | 9 | 852 | 7 | 64 |
| 1000 | 4770 | 95 | 94 | 1137 | 30 | 19 | 1186 | 11 | 88 |
| 1100 | 2765 | 51 | 37 | 519 | 10 | 9 | 593 | 4 | 45 |
| 1200 | 3403 | 95 | 57 | 567 | 19 | 19 | 727 | 1 | 54 |
| 1300 | 1631 | 49 | 17 | 267 | 1 | 12 | 305 | 0 | 48 |
| 1400 | 1889 | 53 | 16 | 270 | 0 | 9 | 297 | 0 | 44 |
| 1500 | 3449 | 72 | 22 | 579 | 12 | 11 | 547 | 0 | 84 |
| 1750 | 2738 | 47 | 25 | 369 | 3 | 6 | 480 | 0 | 46 |
| 2000 | 3666 | 75 | 65 | 693 | 19 | 12 | 719 | 2 | 83 |
| 2500 | 3202 | 70 | 24 | 662 | 15 | 20 | 778 | 0 | 55 |
| 3000 | 2950 | 27 | 29 | 549 | 2 | 20 | 785 | 0 | 44 |
| 3500 | 2425 | 19 | 25 | 411 | 0 | 13 | 715 | 0 | 37 |
| 4000 | 2090 | 16 | 39 | 339 | 2 | 5 | 537 | 0 | 15 |
| 4500 | 1555 | 9 | 1 | 222 | 1 | 3 | 359 | 0 | 9 |
| 5000 | 1252 | 1 | 1 | 166 | 0 | 0 | 210 | 0 | 3 |
| 5500 | 688 | 2 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 1 |

Table 26. Number of silicate range outliers as a function of depth in the Indian Ocean. ( $\mathrm{N}=$ number of observations, High= outliers exceeding high range values, Low $=$ outliers below the low range values)


Table 27. Number of silicate range outliers as a function of depth in the Southern and Arctic Oceans. ( $\mathrm{N}=$ number of observations, High= outliers exceeding high range values, Low $=$ outliers below the low range values)

| Depth | Southern Ocean |  |  | Arctic Ocean |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | High | Low | N | High | Low |
| 0 | 3301 | 14 | 0 | 6919 | 279 | 0 |
| 10 | 2400 | 12 | 0 | 4887 | 418 | 0 |
| 20 | 2390 | 11 | 0 | 5758 | 220 | 0 |
| 30 | 2385 | 2 | 0 | 3577 | 170 | 0 |
| 50 | 3738 | 16 | 0 | 5504 | 82 | 0 |
| 75 | 2842 | 20 | 0 | 2627 | 57 | 0 |
| 100 | 2924 | 17 | 0 | 4692 | 51 | 0 |
| 125 | 925 | 6 | 0 | 618 | 7 | 0 |
| 150 | 2701 | 11 | 89 | 2285 | 36 | 0 |
| 200 | 2918 | 16 | 73 | 3801 | 32 | 0 |
| 250 | 1393 | 11 | 29 | 1642 | 13 | 0 |
| 300 | 2639 | 21 | 32 | 3003 | 24 | 76 |
| 400 | 2660 | 18 | 19 | 2048 | 28 | 41 |
| 500 | 1840 | 14 | 13 | 2520 | 24 | 41 |
| 600 | 1877 | 1 | 22 | 1342 | 22 | 36 |
| 700 | 1048 | 5 | 7 | 568 | 15 | 18 |
| 800 | 1676 | 9 | 11 | 1633 | 19 | 172 |
| 900 | 826 | 4 | 22 | 386 | 11 | 29 |
| 1000 | 1616 | 16 | 20 | 1577 | 15 | 132 |
| 1100 | 696 | 3 | 8 | 223 | 4 | 14 |
| 1200 | 889 | 8 | 12 | 387 | 8 | 20 |
| 1300 | 560 | 1 | 5 | 160 | 1 | 8 |
| 1400 | 667 | 6 | 0 | 185 | 0 | 14 |
| 1500 | 1434 | 10 | 13 | 482 | 2 | 15 |
| 1750 | 1188 | 9 | 13 | 328 | 2 | 7 |
| 2000 | 2108 | 17 | 10 | 520 | 2 | 10 |
| 2500 | 2367 | 18 | 18 | 408 | 0 | 10 |
| 3000 | 2022 | 17 | 19 | 326 | 0 | 6 |
| 3500 | 1514 | 9 | 10 | 126 | 2 | 5 |
| 4000 | 936 | 8 | 7 | 6 | 0 | 3 |
| 4500 | 521 | 8 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 2 |
| 5000 | 264 | 5 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 |
| 5500 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 |

Table 28. Acceptable distances for "inside" and "outside" values used in the Reiniger-
Ross scheme for interpolating observed level data to standard levels

| Standard Levels | Standard Depths | Acceptable distances for inside values | Acceptable distances for outside values |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 5 | 200 |
| 2 | 10 | 50 | 200 |
| 3 | 20 | 50 | 200 |
| 4 | 30 | 50 | 200 |
| 5 | 50 | 50 | 200 |
| 6 | 75 | 50 | 200 |
| 7 | 100 | 50 | 200 |
| 8 | 125 | 50 | 200 |
| 9 | 150 | 50 | 200 |
| 10 | 200 | 50 | 200 |
| 11 | 250 | 100 | 200 |
| 12 | 300 | 100 | 200 |
| 13 | 400 | 100 | 200 |
| 14 | 500 | 100 | 400 |
| 15 | 600 | 100 | 400 |
| 16 | 700 | 100 | 400 |
| 17 | 800 | 100 | 400 |
| 18 | 900 | 200 | 400 |
| 19 | 1000 | 200 | 400 |
| 20 | 1100 | 200 | 400 |
| 21 | 1200 | 200 | 400 |
| 22 | 1300 | 200 | 1000 |
| 23 | 1400 | 200 | 1000 |
| 24 | 1500 | 200 | 1000 |
| 25 | 1750 | 200 | 1000 |
| 26 | 2000 | 1000 | 1000 |
| 27 | 2500 | 1000 | 1000 |
| 28 | 3000 | 1000 | 1000 |
| 29 | 3500 | 1000 | 1000 |
| 30 | 4000 | 1000 | 1000 |
| 31 | 4500 | 1000 | 1000 |
| 32 | 5000 | 1000 | 1000 |
| 33 | 5500 | 1000 | 1000 |

Table 29. Number of observations interpolated from observed levels to standard levels using the different interpolation schemes (numbers in parenthesis are percent of standard levels filled using each method).

| SD file | Phosphate | Nitrate | Silicate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Direct substitution | $609013(38.9 \%)$ | $207865(34.6 \%)$ | $313825(35.3 \%)$ |
| Reineger Ross | $639378(40.8 \%)$ | $267368(44.5 \%)$ | $370043(41.7 \%)$ |
| Two above one below <br> interpolation | $99687(6.4 \%)$ | $38059(6.3 \%)$ | $61934(7.0 \%)$ |
| One above two below <br> interpolation | $55670(3.5 \%)$ | $26066(4.3 \%)$ | $38708(4.3 \%)$ |
| Linear Interpolation | $162765(10.4 \%)$ | $61227(10.2 \%)$ | $103651(11.7 \%)$ |
| Total standard levels | 1566513 | 600585 | 888161 |


| SD2 file | Phosphate | Nitrate | Silicate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Direct substitution | $120398(63.9 \%)$ | $38867(61.5 \%)$ | $78008(55.2 \%)$ |
| Reineger Ross | $48693(25.8 \%)$ | $12439(19.7 \%)$ | $29410(20.8 \%)$ |
| Two above one below <br> interpolation | $6525(3.5 \%)$ | $4034(6.4 \%)$ | $10433(7.4 \%)$ |
| One above two below <br> interpolation | $5016(2.7 \%)$ | $2774(4.4 \%)$ | $9699(6.8 \%)$ |
| Linear Interpolation | $7697(4.1 \%)$ | $5045(8.0 \%)$ | $13891(9.8 \%)$ |
| Total standard levels | 188329 | 63159 | 141441 |

Table 30. Cruises flagged due to nitrate errors in the SD file (F.S.U. refers to the Former Soviet Union)

| NODC Cruise | Country | Date | Location | Profiles |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 88 | South Africa | March 1977 | South Pacific | 21 |
| 1182 | U.S. | Jan-Mar 1966 | North Pacific | 80 |
| 7064 | F.S.U. | May-Jun 1982 | Equatorial Indian | 37 |
| 7065 | F.S.U. | Jun-Jul 1982 | Equatorial Indian | 73 |
| 8638 | U.S. | Nov-Dec 1983 | South Atlantic | 84 |

Table 31. Cruises flagged due to silicate errors in the SD file (F.S.U. refers to the Former Soviet Union)

| NODC Cruise | Country | Date | Location | Profiles |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 44 | F.S.U. | Jul-Aug 1960 | North Pacific | 233 |
| 251 | U.S. | Feb-Mar 1964 | North Atlantic | 171 |
| 380 | F.S.U. | Jun-Sep 1972 | North Atlantic | 362 |
| 392 | Canada | Aug-Oct 1965 | North Atlantic | 147 |
| 8638 | U.S. | Nov-Dec 1983 | South Atlantic | 84 |

Table 32. Number of profiles containing flagged observations for each step of the quality control of phosphate data.

| QC PROCEDURE | WINTER |  | SPRING |  | SUMMER |  | FALL |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SD | SD2 | SD | SD2 | SD | SD2 | SD | SD2 | SD | SD2 |
| RANGE CHECK | 2332 | 550 | 2309 | 417 | 2874 | 502 | 1739 | 444 | 9254 | 1913 |
| Statistical check | 1130 | 191 | 1348 | 145 | 1750 | 134 | 935 | 131 | 5163 | 601 |
| OBJECTIVE ANALYSIS | 77 | 144 | 121 | 57 | 114 | 142 | 81 | 33 | 393 | 376 |

Table 33. Number of profiles containing flagged observations for each step of the quality control of nitrate data.

| QC Procedure | WINTER |  | SPRING |  | SUMMER |  | FALL |  | TOTAL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SD | SD2 | SD | SD2 | SD | SD2 | SD | SD2 | SD | SD2 |
| RANGE CHECK | 2118 | 137 | 2883 | 153 | 2853 | 121 | 1498 | 124 | 9352 | 535 |
| STATISTICAL CHECK | 565 | 16 | 545 | 19 | 573 | 19 | 354 | 19 | 2037 | 68 |
| OBJECTIVE ANALYSIS | 49 | 16 | 26 | 2 | 34 | 0 | 46 | 8 | 155 | 26 |

Table 34. Number of profiles containing flagged observations for each step of the quality control of silicate data.


Table 35. Number of profiles ( N ) containing observations flagged during the range check, and the percentage of low and high outliers (\% Low and \% High) for each basin.

| Basins | Phosphate |  |  | Nitrate |  |  | Silicate |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total N | \% low | \% high | Total N | \% low | \% high | Total N | \% low | \% high |
| N. Atlantic | 5688 | 0.7 | 1.7 | 5127 | 6.4 | 2.5 | 4677 | 1.3 | 2.6 |
| Eq.Atlantic | 1367 | 0.3 | 1.8 | 2108 | 6.5 | 1.6 | 197 | 0.2 | 0.3 |
| S. Atlantic | 591 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 780 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 1413 | 0.6 | 2.6 |
| N. Pacific | 4288 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 13242 | 8.2 | 0.8 | 5836 | 0.8 | 1.8 |
| Eq. Pacific | 2165 | 1.4 | 0.7 | 3527 | 5.3 | 0.5 | 2151 | 1.1 | 2.6 |
| S. Pacific | 4161 | 3.0 | 3.5 | 1777 | 7.5 | 0.3 | 3217 | 2.7 | 3.4 |
| N. Indian | 109 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 152 | 2.8 | 0.7 | 106 | 0.0 | 1.8 |
| Eq. Indian | 606 | 0.7 | 1.1 | 1361 | 9.0 | 0.4 | 455 | 0.4 | 1.9 |
| S. Indian | 1543 | 1.1 | 1.8 | 2092 | 9.0 | 0.6 | 1300 | 1.5 | 2.9 |
| Antarctic | 454 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 180 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 796 | 0.8 | 0.6 |
| Arctic | 1041 | 0.5 | 0.6 | 1160 | 3.5 | 0.2 | 2203 | 1.1 | 2.6 |
| Total | 22013 | 9.4 | 13.8 | 31506 | 60.2 | 8.4 | 22351 | 10.5 | 23.1 |



Fig 1 Seasonal distribution of (a) phosphate, (b) nitrate and (c) silicate profiles as a function of year for each season



Fig 3. Total number of (a) phosphate, (b) nitrate and (c) silicate observations for each basin used in this study
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Fig. 4a Location of phosphate range check flags in the SD file


Fig. 4b Location of phosphate range check flags in the SD2 file
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Fig. 6a Location of nitrate range check flags in the SD file

Fig. 6b Location of nitrate range check flags in the SD2 file



Fig. 8a Location of silicate range check flags in the SD file


Fig. 8b Location of silicate range check flags in the SD2 file



Fig. 10a Location of phosphate statistical check flags in the SD file


Fig. 10b Location of phosphate statistical check flags in the SD2 file



Fig. 12a Location of nitrate statistical check flags in the SD file


Fig. 12b Location of nitrate statistical check flags in the SD2 file



Fig. 14a Location of silicate statistical check flags in the SD file


Fig. 14b Location of silicate statistical check flags in the SD2 file
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Fig. 16a Silicate annual mean at 1000 m (no check for unrealistic features)


Fig. 16b Silicate annual mean at 1000 m (after check for unrealistic features)


Fig. 17a Phosphate outliers from the unrealistic check of the SD file


Fig. 17b Phosphate outliers from the unrealistic check of the SD2 file


Fig. 18a Nitrate outliers from the unrealistic check of the SD file


Fig. 18b Nitrate outliers from the unrealistic check of the SD2 file


